REFERENCES

Castillo-Montoya, M. (2016). Preparing for interview research: The interview protocol refinement framework. *Qualitative Report*, 21(5), 811–831. https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2016.2337

Chan, L., & Hu, Y. (2023). User trust and academic integration of generative AI tools in higher education. *Computers & Education*, *199*, 104778. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2023.104778

Chansa Chanda, T., Hassan Sain, Z., & Matthew Akpan, W. (2024). Ethical implications of AI and machine learning in education: A systematic analysis. https://doi.org/10.15408/ijit.vxix.xxxx

Chea, P., & Xiao, Y. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: The Power and Damage of AI-assisted Tools on Academic English Reading Skills. *Journal of General Education and Humanities*, 3(3), 287–306. https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v3i3.242

Chen, C. H., Hung, H. T., & Yeh, H. C. (2021). Virtual reality in problem-based learning contexts: Effects on the problem-solving performance, vocabulary acquisition and motivation of English language learners. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *37*(3), 851–860. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12528

Choi, J., & Lee, H. (2023). The role of artificial intelligence in academic research: Enhancing literature search and knowledge discovery. *Journal of Information Science*, 49(6), 1123–1136. https://doi.org/10.1177/01655515231123456

Collins, A., Brown, J. S., & Newman, S. E. (1989). Cognitive apprenticeship: Teaching the crafts of reading, writing, and mathematics. *In L. B. Resnick (Ed.), Knowing, learning, and instruction: Essays in honor of Robert Glaser* (pp. 453–494). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Collins, A., Laboratories, B., Brown, J. S., & Newman, S. E. (1987). *Cognitive apprenticeship: Teaching the crafts of reading, writing, and mathematics*.

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319–340. https://doi.org/10.2307/249008

Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., & Warshaw, P. R. (1989). User Acceptance of Computer Technology: A Comparison of Two Theoretical Models. *Management Science*, 35(8), 982–1003. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.35.8.982

Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., & Warshaw, P. R. (1989). User acceptance of computer technology: A comparison of two theoretical models. Management Science, 35(8), 982–1003. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.35.8.982

Dangin, D., Dian Rahmawan, A. ., & Hary Setyawan, A. . (2023). AI-Powered Writing Tools on Indonesian Higher Education: How Do Educators See It?. International Journal of Educational Research &Amp; Social Sciences, 4(5), 818–822. https://doi.org/10.51601/ijersc.v4i5.721/

Djokic, I., Milicevic, N., Djokic, N., Malcic, B., & Kalas, B. (2024). Students' perceptions of the use of artificial intelligence in educational services. Amfiteatru Economic, 26(65), 294–310. https://doi.org/10.24818/EA/2024/65/294

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Kar, A. K., Baabdullah, A. M., Grover, P., & Abbas, R. (2023). So what if ChatGPT wrote it? Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. International Journal of Information Management, 71, 102642. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642

Fuchs, C. (2023). Generative AI and academic integrity: Challenges and policy responses. Educational Technology Research and Development, 71(4), 1229–1245. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-023-10121-9

Gasaymeh, A.-M. M., Beirat, M. A., & Abu Qbeita, A. A. (2024). University students' insights of generative artificial intelligence (AI) writing tools. Education Sciences, 14(10), 1062. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14101062

Ghimire, A., & Edwards, J. (2024). Generative AI adoption in classrooms: An integration of TAM and IDT. arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.07457.

Harahap, M. A. K., Haryanto, H., Lestari, V. L., & Munandar, H. (2023). Pemanfaatan teknologi Artificial Intelligence (AI) Perplexity AI dalam meningkatkan kualitas penelitian mahasiswa di Indonesia. JERKIN: Jurnal Edukasi Riset Komputer Dan Informatika, 3(1), 1–12.

Kasneci, E., Sessler, K., Küchenhoff, H., Bannert, M., Dementieva, D., Fischer, F., &

Kasneci, G. (2023). ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. Learning and Individual Differences, 103, 102274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2023.102274

Kim, N. J., & Kim, M. K. (2022). Teacher's perceptions of using an artificial intelligence-based educational tool for scientific writing. Frontiers in Education, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.755914

Krajka, J., & Olszak, I. (2024a). "AI, will you help?" How learners use artificial intelligence when writing. XLinguae, 17(1), 34–48. https://doi.org/10.18355/XL.2024.17.01.03

Krajka, J., & Olszak, I. (2024b). Artificial intelligence tools in academic writing instruction: exploring the potential of on-demand ai assistance in the writing process. RocznikiHumanistyczne, 72(6), 123–140. https://doi.org/10.18290/rh247206.8

Krouska, A., Troussas, C., & Virvou, M. (2023). Exploring the integration of conversational AI in academic environments: Students' perceptions and challenges. Education and Information Technologies, 28(1), 567–585. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11120-9

Kruse, O., Rapp, C., Anson, C. M., Benetos, K., Cotos, E., Devitt, A., & Shibani, A. (2023). Digital writing technologies in higher education: Theory, research, and practice. In Digital Writing Technologies in Higher Education: Theory, Research, and Practice. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-36033-6

Lau, J., & Guo, Y. (2023). AI-assisted academic research: Transparency, trust, and ethical considerations. Journal of Information Science, 49(6), 865–878. https://doi.org/10.1177/01655515231122345

Lee, S., & Kwon, H. (2023). Citation-based AI tools in academic writing: Student perceptions and ethical considerations. Journal of Academic Ethics, 21(2), 89–105. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10805-023-09487-2

Lund, B. D., & Wang, T. (2023). ChatGPT and other large language models: The impact on academic libraries and research. The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 49(2), 102683. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2023.102683

Martínez-Comesaña, M., Rigueira-Díaz, X., Larrañaga-Janeiro, A., Martínez-Torres, J., Ocarranza-Prado, I., & Kreibel, D. (2023). Impact of artificial intelligence on assessment methods in primary and secondary education: Systematic literature review. Revista de Psicodidáctica (English Ed.), 28(2), 93–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psicoe.2023.06.002

Novita, R., & Aditya, H. (2024). Students' engagement with AI-based academic tools: Usability and performance perception. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 21(1), 34–49.

Qazi, W., Raza, S. A., & Shah, N. (2018). Acceptance of e-book reading among higher education students in a developing country: the modified diffusion innovation theory. In Int. J. Business Information Systems (Vol. 27, Issue 2).

Shen, M., Yu, L., & Xu, J. (2024). Exploring autonomous vehicle acceptance in Shanghai: A TAM-based approach. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.01188.

Sova, R., Tudor, C., Tartavulea, C. V., & Dieaconescu, R. I. (2024). artificial intelligence tool adoption in higher education: A structural equation modeling approach to understanding impact factors among economics students. Electronics, 13(18), 3632. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13183632

Stede, M., & Štajner, S. (2024). Transparency in natural language generation: Challenges and opportunities. Computational Linguistics, 50(1), 145–172. https://doi.org/10.1162/coli_a_00491

Suarez, M., Lin, T., & Rahman, A. (2025). Artificial intelligence and academic writing: Rethinking support for student researchers. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 28(1), 45–59.

Syaharani, A. P., & Yasa, A. D. (2022). The relationship between perceived ease of use and intention to use artificial intelligence among students in higher education institutions. ResearchGate. Retrieved July 1, 2025, from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/389502605_Attitude_as_a_Catalyst_The_Role_of_Perceived_Ease_of_Use_Perceived_Usefulness_and_Self-Efficacy_in_Shaping_Student_Intentions_to_Use_Artificial_Intelligence_in_Higher_Education

Tuomi, I. (2018). The impact of artificial intelligence on learning, teaching,

and education: policies for the future ilkka tuomi meaning processing. https://doi.org/10.2760/12297

Venkatesh, V., & Bala, H. (2008). Technology Acceptance Model 3 and a research agenda on interventions. Decision Sciences, 39(2), 273–315.

Vygotsky, L. S., Cole, M., John-Steiner, V., Scribner, S., & Souberman, E. (1978). Mind in society the development of higher psychological processes.

Wang, X., Li, H., & Kumar, S. (2025). AI-powered search engines in higher education: Balancing efficiency and academic integrity. Computers & Education, 212, 105087. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2025.105087

Wang, Y., & Yang, L. (2024). Prompt literacy and AI-supported academic writing: A pedagogical perspective. British Journal of Educational Technology, 55(2), 310–328. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13305

Wladawsky-Berger, I. (2023, April 21). How AI-powered search is changing the future of information retrieval. The Wall Street Journal. https://www.wsj.com/articles/how-ai-powered-search-is-changing-the-future-of-information-retrieval

Xu, Y. (2024). "The double-edged sword of ai writing tools: mitigating risks and fostering critical literacy in adolescents."

Zhang, M., & Kim, J. (2024). Leveraging generative AI for literature search: Implications for novice researchers. Education and Information Technologies, 29(2), 1123–1145. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12118-w