Gmail

Semi-Automatic Machine with Programmable Logic Controller in the Mendong

Compose

Mail 99+
Chat
Spaces
Meet

Inbox 21,417
Starred
Snoozed
Important
Sent
Drafts 80
Categories
More

Labels
Notes
Catatan Harian Prodi

[ICSECC] Results of Paper Review and Inspection

Inbox

Secretariat of ICSECC <icsecc@president.ac.id>
to me, firmansyah, supratman, sutisna

Dear Author(s),

we have reviewed and inspected your final manuscript (FM). Attached please find the result of your FM review and inspection. Please improve your paper base FM.

We send also the conference template, for your reference.

Please indicate the changes you made to your FM by using **YELLOW/BLUE HIGHLIGHTS**. Please send the improved FM (or FM2) to icsecc@president.ac.id. example: FM2.088.doc.

Upon receiving, we will check your FM2. Please be reminded, that only papers that follow the checklist will proceed to the publication process to IEEE Xplore a

Best regards,
ICSECC Publication Chair

5 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail

Inspection Paper ...
01. Conference-t...
086.Rev_ICSECC

NURUL HIRON <hiron@unsil.ac.id>
to FIRMANSYAH

5 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail

Paper number	Paper number	091
Email	Email	empung@unsil.ac.id; inorken@yahoo.co.uk; wiwiet@yahoo.com; adnyanaputera@gmail.com; hiron@unsil.ac.id;
Paper title	Paper title	The Validation of Linear Method in Cascade Reservoir System for Prediction of Energy Production to Optimize Supply and Demand
Title Format, Abstract writing, keywords	1) Format of FM must be according to conference template: font size, length of abstract, number of keywords. 2) Abstract must contain conclusion. 3) Maximum number of keywords 5. 4) No repetition of word for the title	OK, abstract OK.
Name of authors (combine authors with identical affiliation)	For the same affiliation, combine multiple authors in one column. More than 1 email address can be written on the last line	3 column is enough for the authors since there are only 3 different affiliations. Civil Engineering, Siliwangi University; Civil Engineering, Udayana University; Electrical Engineering, Siliwangi University.
Fonts according to template	In general, the section title, body of the paragraph, figure caption, table caption, size and type of fonts, must follow the template of the conference.	OK, already follow the template in general, except citation. All are still wrong. Check again resources in internet regarding IEEE citation style.
Equations writings	1) The way to write the equation must follow the conference template with center alignment for equation and equation number right justified, 2) Equations may not be in the form of screenshot, but equations must be typed using Word alphabet, Equation Editor, or Equation Tools	Some equations are still not written/typed by using equation tools, such as: equation 1, 2, and 3. Please retype all equations. Do not use screenshot of equations. Equations must be centered aligned.
Picture resolution and font size inside picture	1) Pictures/figures must be of high resolution, 2) Font size used inside the picture/figure must be equal to Times New Roman size 7 and readable.	Figures must be without FRAME, figures must be without TITLE. Below is example of figure without title and frame. 

		Figure 4 is seems to be taken from picture of a monitor, seen from blurry gaussian waves around the figure. Try to replace with figure with better quality and resolutions. Figure 2, flow chart. Correct the start and finish box. It should be rounded, not rectagle (according to the rule of writing flowchart).
Figure caption and Table caption to be consistent in text	1) Consistency in referring, if in caption the author uses Figure, then in paragraph body the citation must be Figure, too. If in caption the author uses Fig., then in citation should use Fig, too. 2) Size and style of caption for Figure and Table must be Times New Roman size 8 (according to template) 3)The numbering of Figure uses italic number (1,2,3), the numbering of Table uses roman number (I,II,III), for example Fig. 1 or Figure 1, Table I)	Almost 100% OK. Check again not to use Fig.01 which is wrong. It should be Fig.1 directly. Fig 5. Shows (wrong) Fig.5 shows (correct). Fig must be followed with period, then number. Fig.7 Fig.8, but not Fig 7 Fig 8
Figure/Table must first found in text before they appear	1) Figure and Table must first be mentioned in text before the Figure and Table appears in the paper. 2) Referring to Figure/Table must directly use their number, not using words such as "next table", "the following table", and so on..., 3) Each Figure/Table must be mentioned at least 1 time in the paper, 4) Table and Figure should be placed not too far from the place where they are referred to inside the body of the paragraph	Figure 5 and 6 appears first, before they are mentioned inside text. Check again for every figure. It must be mentioned in text first, before the figure appears.
Writing the reference (style, order of appearance)	1) Check whether the writing of the references already follows the IEEE style, 2) Reference must be cited in order of appearance	Citation must be rewritten to follow IEEE citation style. Given name must abbreviated, then follow the family name. R. Arumkumar, but not

	3) Maximum 25% Indonesian references. The rest must be in English.	Arumkumar, R. as below. Check again all. [1] Arunkumar, R., 2017. Optimal Crop Plans for a multi-reservoir system having inter-basin water transfer using multi-objective evolutionary algorithms coupled with chaos. Journal http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2017.04.018
Updated reference must be used (last 3 years)	Paper must contain citations which are published in the last 3 years (references must update, proven by including papers/journal/books which are 3 years old or less)	OK, there are several references from 2017.
English Writing and Grammar	The general assessment of the English level of the paper. Acceptable or not? The committee can help by providing professional proof reader (sworn translator) with the price of Rp100.000/page. The author is suggested to provide proof read by himself/herself. Publication may be rejected if there is no significant improvement in level of English writing.	OK.
Number of pages (minimum 4, maximum 6)	The paper must be at least 4 pages but maximum 6 pages.	5 pages. OK.
Conclusion does not have to be with recommendation (reformulate recommendation)	Check the writing and the content of Conclusion section. It should be written in style of research conclusion, but not in style of a thesis conclusion, final project conclusion.	OK, already shown quantitative results.
70% of the reviewer inputs must be accommodated in Final Manuscript	Final manuscript (FM) must accommodate at least 70% of reviewers' input, if the FM is compared to the Review manuscript (RM)	Please follow the input from reviewer, as given as comments in the attached document.
The revised final manuscript must include highlights of changes made by author	Author must send back the improvement of FM, named FM2 to icsecc@president.ac.id. Author MUST give highlights to parts where the author conducts the improvement, showing the difference	Above are the inputs from the Publication Chair of the ICSECC Conference. Please conduct the review of your final manuscript (FM) according to the items mentioned above.

	between FM2 and the previous FM.	You now have 7 days to revise your paper according to the input. Please send again your revised final manuscript (FM2) to icsecc@president.ac.id. IN THE FM2, PLEASE SHOW THE CHANGES THAT YOU MADE BY GIVING YELLOW HIGHLIGHTS TO THE CHANGES Your paper will proceed to publication phase only after it passes the checklist of the Publication Chair.
Document Format	Submitted FM must be in DOC, may not be in other format. DOCX or PDF is not acceptable.	OK.

The Validation of Linear Method in Cascade Reservoir System for Prediction of Energy Production to O~~o~~ptimize Supply and Demand

Empung
Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Siliwangi University
Indonesia
empung@unsil.ac.id

I Nyoman Norken
Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Udayana University
Indonesia
inorken@yahoo.co.uk

Mawitri InfantriYekti
Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Udayana University
Indonesia
wiwiet@yahoo.com

I Gusti Agung Adnyana Putera
Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Udayana University
Indonesia
adnyanaputera@gmail.com

Nurul Hiron
Department of Electrical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Siliwangi University
Indonesia
hiron@unsil.ac.id

***Abstract*—In Citarum River, West Java, Indonesia, there are three serial reservoirs successively spreading out from upstream to downstream, namely Saguling Reservoir with the volume of 875 million m3and electrical energy production of 700 MW, Cirata Reservoir with the volume of 2,156 million m3 and electrical energy production of 500 MW. Jatiluhur Reservoir, the most downstream, with the volume of 2,451 million m3 and electrical energy production of 150 MW. Jatiluhur Reservoir also supplies for irrigation of 260,000 ha areas and drinking water industry with the average inflow of 100m3/s. Those reservoirs have highly fluctuated with maximum water level 5 m per month. This condition occurs as the sharing-non-sharing system is applied. Although this fluctuation is still in a normal condition, it affects the stability of reservoir slope. This study discusses the validation of a linear method to reach an optimal value of reservoir production in terms of electrical energy. The primary reservoir inflow data used was from 1988-2000, while the demand data was taken from 1992-2000, Cascade reservoir technique data was based on the existing data in 2000, Stochastic analysis was used to determine the inflow of 2000-2005 generation, while demand analysis was carried out using regression analysis. Multi-reservoir optimization analysis is carried out with linear simulation programs to obtain energy or fulfillment of demand every month, while demand for irrigation in the Jatiluhur reservoir is needed as a top priority supported by Saguling, Cirata, and Jatiluhur reservoirs as the next priority. The result of optimizing cascade reservoir from 2001-2005, with an initial period of operation on April obtained an average electrical production of 4,996.5 GWh, shows that the realization of average electrical production is 4,141.96 GWh. Besides, the average demand is 4,996.8 MCm, while the average supply is 5,806.2 MCm.**

Keywords—Optimization, Multi-reservoir Operation, Purpose Function, Prediction

I. Introduction

Operating reservoir involves various capacities of storage that are divided into some parts based on the function having planned in reservoir operation. The type of reservoir operation implicates some regulations that influence decision making, including storage capacity and water outflow management with various purposes.

They are operating multi-reservoir with multi-purpose needs to pay attention to the importance and function of each reservoir. One objective function probably used is to maximize the electrical energy with the required water release from the downstream reservoir must be higher than or same as required constraints. Besides, the multi-reservoir operation should pay attention to the required constraints and constraints that fulfill many interests. In general, the followings are some constraints that are often used in operating the multi-reservoir system~~: are the followings.~~

1. Final water level ≥ Initial water level
2. The final water level of each reservoir ≤ Maximum operating water level
3. The final water level of each reservoir ≥ Minimum operating water level
4. Water release from multi-purpose reservoir ≥ Irrigation needs

Related to operation optimization of reservoirs in a Journal entitled; "Optimal crop plans for a multi-reservoir system having intra-basin water transfer using multi-objective evolutionary algorithms coupled with Chao" [1] as follows a surface water reservoir serves multiple purposes such as irrigation, hydropower generation, industrial and domestic water supply, flood control, navigation, recreation, etc. Among these purposes, irrigation is most significant in India since irrigated agriculture is the largest consumer of water.

A. Rule Curves and Water Control Diagrams

The terms *rule curve* and *guide curve* are typically used to denote operating rules which define ideal or target storage levels and provide a mechanism for release rules to be specified as a function of storage containers. Rule curves are typically expressed as water surface elevation or storage volume versus time of the year.

Commented [i-[1]: The english of the manuscript must be proofread

Commented [i-[2]: Since all authors are from two university, the afiliation only need in two column

Formatted: Indonesian

Formatted: Indonesian

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, English (United States)

Formatted: Normal

Commented [i-[3]: The abstrcts need to be reduced.

Commented [i-[4]: You need to revised this sentences in to two or the sentences that indicate the general problem of a river as a source of electical energy.

Commented [i-[5]: To indicate the contribution, more current literature study are needed.



Figure 2-1 Seasonal top of conservation pool. [2]

B. Hydroelectric Power

Hydroelectric power is typically used for peak load, while thermal plants supply the baseload. Hydroelectric power plants can assume load rapidly and are very efficient for meeting peak-demand power needs. In some regions, hydroelectric power is a primary source of electricity, supplying much or most of the baseload as well as the peak load.

C. Linear Programming Format

Linear programming consists of finding values for a set of *n* decision variables ($x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$) that minimize or maximize an objective or criterion function x_0 of the form:

Where a_{ij}, b_i, and c_j are constants. The linear programming model is expressed is more concise notation as

minimize (or maximize) $x_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j$ (a-1)

subject to $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j \le b_i$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., m$ (a-5)

and $x_j \ge 0$ for $j = 1, 2, ..., m$ (a-6)

Where x_0 is the objective function, x_j are the decision variables. c_j, a_{ij}, and b_i are constants, *n* is the number of decision variables, and *m* is the number of constraints. The less than or equal sign in the constraint inequalities may be replaced by a greater than or equal sign to suit the particular problem being modeled. Maximizing $-x_0$ is equivalent to minimizing x_0. The objective function and all constraints are a linear function or the decision variables. A set of values for the and variables is called a decision policy [3].

D. Stochastic Model

In statistics, the word of stochastic has a similar meaning with random. In hydrology, stochastic is particularly used in a time series in which some of them are random. In deterministic hydrology, a variable of time is important. Formulation of a model for monthly storage is developed by Thomas and Fearing, as follows [4].

$$q_{i,j} = q_j^- + b_j \left(q_{i-1,j-1} - q_{j-1}^-\right) + t_{i,j} . S_j . \sqrt{(1 - r_j^2)} \quad (1)$$

Where I is month in sequence, j = month in a year, j = 12, 1, 2 from January to December, $q_{i,j}$ is stored in the first month, j month in that year, $q_{i-1,j-1}$ is storage of previous month j, q_j^- is storage in month 'j' (average of month j (12 months are measured), b_j is regression coefficient from storage of months j and j-1 is r_j. s_j / s_{j-1}, (12 months are measured), and r_j is correlation coefficient between storage of months j and j-1 (12 months are measured), S_j is deviation standard of month j(12 months are measured), $t_{i,j}$ is random variate, with an average of 0 and variant of 1.

In 1998, reservoir operation system was used for multi-reservoir operation pattern planning with an objective function to maximize the energy. There is a difference between the plan and its realization [5]. The prediction of monthly reservoir inflow is inappropriate with its realization. In consequence, there is a need to evaluate the pattern used to maintain the operation, including the initial water level and final water level, inflow and outflow, and electricity production [6].

Mathematic Model and Water Flow Pattern in Multi-purpose Reservoir. The formula is [7]:

$$V_{ij} = V_{Sij-1} + I_{ij} - Q_{ij} - Q_{ij} - O - E_{vpij-1} - I_{spilij} \quad (2)$$

Where, ~~is~~ i is the reservoir index, j is the time index, S is reservoir index, I is inflow, O is water flow for irrigation, Q is outflow for powering turbine, E_{vpij} is water loss due to evaporation, I $_{spilij}$ is water release through spillway [8].

II. METHOD AND MATERIAL

In Citarum River, it successively spreads out three reservoirs from upstream to downstream, namely Saguling with a maximum capacity of 889 x 10^6 m^3, Cirata (1,976 x 10^6 m^3), and Jatiluhur (2,458 x 10^6 m^3). The main function of Saguling and Cirata reservoirs is as hydroelectric power with each capacity of 700 MW (4x175 MW), 1000 MW (6x175 MW). Besides, Jatiluhur reservoir (6x30 MW) also provides irrigation water need for farmland of 260,000 ha, raw water for PAM Jaya and Industries.



Fig. 1. The historical data inflow of the Saguling, Cirata, and Jatiluhur reservoir measured from 1988-2000

Fig.01 is a historical data inflow of the Saguling, Cirata, and Jatiluhur reservoir measured from 1988-2000. This historical data is then analyzed using the stochastic model from Thomas and Fearing, as in equation (1). The analysis result is a projection of Saguling, Cirata, and Jatiluhur reservoir inflow data for 2001-2005. Then the projection results are then compared with 2001-2005 field measurement data that has been provided from the Research and Development of Water Resources (Puslitbang Air) Bandung.

The comparison results are then validated by Thomas and Fearing's Stochastic Model accuracy. If the results of the comparison of projections resemble the field measurements, it can be said that the Thomas and Fearing model is valid for the inflow character in the Saguling, Cirata, and Jatiluhur reservoirs.

Commented [i-[6]: All variable must be written in ittalic

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: figure caption, Indent: Left: 0 cm, Hanging: 1 cm

In Jatiluhur Reservoir, there are six units of the turbine with the installed power of 187 MW and average electrical energy of 1,000 million KWH/month. Additionally, Jatiluhur Reservoir provides irrigation water for rice fields of 260,000 ha (twice planting period in a year), raw water, drinking water, fishery cultivation, flood control. Due to its vital function, water flowed from the Jatiluhur Reservoir must be higher than or the same as irrigation water needs.

This study aims to ~~do~~ conduct an optimization with an operational simulation of multi-reservoir regarding monthly inflow in five years in Saguling, Cirata, and Jatiluhur Reservoirs. This optimization intends to maximize the energy of each reservoir.



~~Figure~~ Fig. ~~3.1~~2. Research Flow Chart



Fig. ~~Figure 3.~~3~~2~~. Reservoir Model

~~**Constraints:**~~

Constraints:

$$V_{ijmin} \leq V_{ij+1} \leq V_{max} \quad (2)$$

$$I_{2j} = VG_{ij} + S_{ij} - \Delta_{ij} \quad (3)$$

E_{ij} = monthly evaporation of each reservoir = a_{ij} . An average (3)

If:

$V_{i13} = V_{i} = V_{imax}$: target is achieved

$V_{i13} \geq V_{imax}$: target is achieved and able to be raised)

$V_{i1} < V_{i3}$ or $V_{ij+1} < V_{imin}$: target is failed

$V_{ij+1} \geq V_{imax}$: overflowing

$S_{ij+1} = V_{ij+1} - V_{imax}$: overflow

Where W_i is Water volume of each reservoir, P_{ij} is Electrical Power of each reservoir, f_{ij} is Overflow of each reservoir, V_{ij} is Storage of each reservoir, I_{ij} is Inflow of each reservoir, S_{ij} is Irrigation Needs, G_{ij} isTurbine Needs



Fig.~~Figure 3.~~ 4~~3~~. A b~~B~~alance model of a multi-reservoir system

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Generating data from the three reservoirs have similarities with data resulted by observation from 2001 - 2005 while the results of optimization with simulation as boundary condition with priority scale, energy and Irrigation demand can be met as shown in the table and graph, which one :

Inflow data of each hydroelectric power is used as an input for optimization with simulation. This section is a trial period of the initial operation.

The initial period of operation for Cascade Reservoir is in April. Water irrigation needs or demand based on the result of prediction with linear regression between 2001 and 2005 indicates that Demand Projection increases with the average number of 4.47%.

The result of optimization with simulation obtains the average number of monthly power, high flow of each link, release, downstream release, monthly storage of reservoir, spill, and supply for the demand.

If the storage of each reservoir in a certain month is lower than the minimum water level, it seems that the reservoir will be unstable. Consequently, to make the operation of each reservoir in a year in a balanced state, the storage of each reservoir at the end of operation has to become in a similar condition by decreasing target of energy. The decreasing the target of energy deals with the decreasing capacity of a link or changing the beginning period of operation.

Commented [i-[9]: All font size of the variable must reduces based on the format

Commented [i-[10]: Font size of this figure must be increased

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: figure caption, Left

Commented [i-[7]: Font size must be improved

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: figure caption, Right: 0 cm, Tab stops: Not at 16,35 cm

Commented [i-[8]: Font size of this figure must be increased

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: figure caption, Left

The optimization with simulation between 2001 to 2005, in which April become the beginning period of operation, reveals an optimal result and become target output of energy that is appropriate with demand constraint given. This implies that from April 2001 to April 2005, those reservoirs can be maintained well. April is still in the rainy season, so the storage of the reservoir is in full condition.

~~The result of reservoir operation due to the change of demand between 2001 and 2005 shows target output of energy that is appropriate with demand constraint given.~~

The management of multi-reservoir system for flood control is applied considering a high rainfall. For example, in Java island from January to April or even to June, July or August, rainfall is in high intensity. Hence, a huge flood will happen around those months.

One function of Saguling, Cirata, and Jatiluhur reservoirs are to control flood. For example, if flood level being controlled is twice minimum water level of the reservoir, storage of each reservoir at the moment of the flood is ~~the below:~~ 1. Saguling: $V \leq V\ max - 2\ V\ min$

$= 889 - 2(272) = 345$ MCM.

2. Cirata : $V \leq V\ max$

$= 1976 - (1976 - 1177)\ 1576{,}5$ MCM.

3. Jatiluhur : $V \leq V\ max - 2\ V\ min$

$= 2458 - 2\ (599) = 1260$ MCM.



~~Figure~~ Fig ~~5~~5.~~1~~. Inflow ~~p~~Prediction Saguling, Cirata, and Jatiluhur

Fig 5. Shows the prediction results of Cubic Milion Inflow Meter (MCM) in 2001-2005, for each Saguling, Cirata, and Jatiluhur inflow reservoir, using the stochastic method from Thomas fiering model as in equation (1). Calculations using the normal distribution. From the prediction results, the average inflow values obtained in the predicted year are 222 MCM for Saguling Reservoir, 190 MCM for Cirata, and 73 MCM for Jatiluhur Reservoir.



~~Figure~~ Fig. 6~~5.2~~. Real Inflow 2001 – 2005

Fig.6 is the measured real data, obtained from the head office of the research and development of water resources (Puslitbang Air) Bandung. From the results of monthly measurements on the three reservoirs, the average inflow values obtained in the predicted year are 290 MCM for Saguling Reservoir, 190 MCM for Cirata, and 52 MCM for Jatiluhur Reservoir.



~~Chart~~ Fig. 7~~5.3~~. Real Energy And Energy Prediction in GWh

Fig. 7 is a comparison chart of energy produced from the three reservoirs, which for Real energy data is obtained from Perum Jasa Tirta II (PJT.II) with an average energy each year from the three reservoirs of 4142 GWh while prediction of the average energy obtained from the results of optimization with simulations in 4997.4 GWh.



~~Chart~~ Fig. 8~~5.4~~. Demand In Year ~~i~~In Jatiluhur Reservoir, MCM

In Fig. 8, it is a comparison between demand for irrigation (MCM) against prediction results using equation (1) and the measurement data from the three reservoirs. It is known that the irrigation demand for agricultural purposes, for around the reservoir area in 2001 - 2005, can be fulfilled by the availability of water in the Jailuhur reservoir. As in Fig 3, know that the Jatiluhur reservoir is a final reservoir which functions to meet agricultural irrigation needs.

From Fig 8, it is known that the growth of irrigation needs, which is 5 MCM/year is 4.8 (MCM), while the predicted results of the capacity of the Jatiluhur reservoir are not much different from the field measurement results, which is 5.81 MCM, thus it can be concluded that Jatiluhur is able to serve the needs irrigation.

From the results of this analysis, it is known that equation (1) of Thomas and Fearing can be used as an alternative in analyzing the predicted growth of reservoir capacity on energy needs and agricultural irrigation needs.

IV. CONCLUSION

The result of this paper has obtained some facts that can ~~be~~ highlights ~~high light~~~~for~~ the current condition in the field

Formatted: Body Text, Left, Line spacing: single

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: figure caption, Indent: First line: 0 cm

Commented [AP11]: Is it possible to move this paragraph to the previous one? This one only consists of one sentence.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0,51 cm

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Body Text, Left, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Indonesian

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: figure caption, Indent: First line: 0 cm

Formatted: (none)

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm

Commented [i-[12]: Font size must be improved

Formatted: Font: 8 pt, English (United States)

Formatted: Font: 8 pt, English (United States)

Formatted: Font: 8 pt, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Body Text, Left

Formatted: figure caption, Indent: First line: 0 cm

Formatted: Body Text, Left

Formatted: Indonesian, Check spelling and grammar

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Indonesian

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0,38 cm

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

and future research. The average increase number of monthly demand for next year as much as 4.47%. By ~~the~~ increasing the number of demand, the need is still fulfilled. The average energy of those reservoirs between 2001 and 2005 is 4,952 GWH, while real energy is 4,638 GWH. The initial period of operation that fulfills optimal requirement is in April because it can fulfill the objective function and its obstacles. There is ~~the~~ the ~~an~~ increasing number of demand for irrigation need, industry and drinking water and it should become a priority, the movement of reforestation or the maintenance of Drainage Basin of Citarum River in upstream or downstream has to be carried out continuously, so the inflow of each reservoir can be fulfilled.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT *(Heading 5)*

The authors are very grateful to Siliwangi University, ~~,~~ and Kemenristekdiki for the financial support provided to accomplish this work. The authors also gratefully acknowledge the helpful comments and suggestions from the reviewers, which have improved the presentation.

REFERENCES

[1] Arunkumar, R., 2017. Optimal Crop Plans for a multi-reservoir system having inter-basin water transfer using multi-objective evolutionary algorithms coupled with chaos. Journal http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2017.04.018

[2] Wurbs, A.R, 1996. Modeling & Analysis of Reservoir System Operation, Prince Hall PTR, Upper Saddle River NJ 07458

[3] Soepangat, N. 2001. Analysis of water resources system, Bandung Institut Of Technology

[4] Petrus, S., 2004. Research on the Resop model for Cascade Reservoir operation, Proceeding the results of research and development of water resources. Volume 1. October 2004. Puslitbang water resouces, Kimpraswil Department.

[5] Mark Khait, M, 2017. Operator-based linearization for general-purpose reservoir simulation, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2017.08.009

[6] Valdes, Juan B., 2014. Managing Reservoirs For Flood Control, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/267420353

[7] Khait, M, 2017. Operator-based linearization for general-purpose reservoir simulation, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2017.08.009

[8] Al-Jawad, Jafar Y, Tiku T. Tayimboh, 2017, Reservoir Operation using a robust evolutionary optimization algorithm. Journal of Environmental management.