ICSECC 2020 Acceptance Notification (PaperID: 87)

External Inbox ×

ICSECC 2020 <icsecc2020@president.ac.id>
to me, firmansyah

Nov 20, 2020, 8:35 PM

Dear Prof./Dr./Mr./Ms./Mrs., Nurul Hiron, Firmansyah M S Nursuwars, Supratman Supratman and Sutisna Sutisna,

We are pleased to inform you that your paper:

PaperID : 87
Title : Performance Comparison of Semi-Automatic Machine for Mendong Woven Industrial

has passed the review process and is ACCEPTED for presentation at the 2020 International Conference on Sustainable Engineering and Creative Computing (ICSECC)

It is mandatory to prepare the camera ready paper as per the instructions listed on https://icsecc.president.ac.id/ and your paper will not be published unless the following are done:

1. Revise your paper(s) according to the reviewers' comments. The detailed reviews are at the bottom of this email.
2. The accepted similarity level is a maximum of 20% which you may check using Turnitin or other similar plagiarism checks.
3. Upload your camera-ready paper according to Easychair by 05 December 2020 (hard deadline)
4. Fill the registration form and upload your payment proof at https://bit.ly/ICSECC2020
Before you fill in the form, please prepare the proof of payment and proof of your student status (for student registration)
5. Fully-completed signed IEEE copyright form and send it to icsecc2020@president.ac.id with subject: Copyright 2020-your paperID. Later, an IEEE Electronic Copyright will be sent to your email after successful registration.
6. Accepted paper must be presented live by the author at the virtual conference event. Additionally, the author is required to Submit the recorded video of your presentation using Zoom to icsecc2020@president.ac.id, video duration max 10 minutes, before 10 December 2020 with the subject: RecordedPresentation-your paperID

Please be reminded that the due date for **early bird registration** is **30 November 2020**. At least one author has to register for the conference.

Please let us know if you have any questions regarding registration.

20 November 2020.
With a warmest regards,

Ir. Rila Mandala M.Eng., Ph.D
General Chair of ICSECC2020

SUBMISSION: 87
TITLE: Performance Comparison of Semi-Automatic Machine for Mendong Woven Industrial

---------- REVIEW 1 ----------
SUBMISSION: 87
TITLE: Performance Comparison of Semi-Automatic Machine for Mendong Woven Industrial
AUTHORS: Nurul Hiron, Firmansyah M S Nursuwars, Supratman Supratman and Sutisna Sutisna

---------- Summary ----------
* Figure caption should be left aligned. Check again the conference template.
* Title of Table II is still in Bahasa Indonesia. The author should activate the spell checking in the word processor he uses.
* Format of table does not follow the conference template. First row should be bold, font size, and so on. Check again the conference template.
---------- Reviewer general recommendation ----------
Minor Revision
---------- Detailed Comments ----------
* Reference to a figure/table must be made before the figure/table is shown in the paper. This is wrong in case of Fig 3

* Figure 3 : not moven, but woven

* Figure 4 is mistakenly numbered 3. It should appear after the paragraph where the figure is mentioned for the first time.
---------- Organization ----------
Over all still many grammatical english mistakes, such as:
* Fig.4, showing the main parts of the semi-automatic mendong weaving machine. (unclear structure of sentence)
* The semi-automatic mendong weaving machine has a
block diagram consisting of four main blocks: the unit sensor (should be sensor unit).
* Fig.8 : repetation

Sentence sometimes becomes too long. Consider breaking the sentence into 2 or more sentences.
* From
the experimental data collected, it can be concluded that
mathematically, there is a trend of decreasing electricity
consumption linearly, so it can be expressed in mathematical
form as a linear equation as in equation (3), where y is the
duration of electrical energy (Wh), x is the value trial.
---------- Relevance ----------
It is suited. Optimization by using technology in electrical engineering.
---------- Presentation clarity ----------
Figures are clear enough
---------- Novelty ----------
This paper seems to be a follow up of other paper with similar topic, also written by the author. So the novelty level is low.
---------- Method ----------
The measurements are conducted in duration/meter production and in electricity consumption. In production of woven material, actually the quality must also be considered. Is the mendong produced by machine better? Does it have the same consistency, strength, density, and other aspects compared to the manually woven mendong? No discussion regarding quality is taken, thus the improvement in time and energy saving can be easily nullified.

Repetition of 10 times, with variation of workers is considered a source of inaccuracy. It should have been better if no variation is allowed during the experiment. Such as changing operator, changing work method, etc. But, if only for getting an average, the result can be representative.
---------- Impact and Contribution ----------
This will contribute in home industry development. But for technical aspects, it should have been more interesting to discuss the construction of the woven machine. This should be reported in other paper.
---------- References ----------
References from international journal or conference need to be added. Out of 11, 3 are written in Bahasa Indonesia. 6 out of 11 are 2017 or newer. Just barely acceptable, so the author is advised to add more new references.

---------- REVIEW 2 ----------
SUBMISSION: 87
TITLE: Performance Comparison of Semi-Automatic Machine for Mendong Woven Industrial
AUTHORS: Nurul Hiron, Firmansyah M S Nursuwars, Supratman Supratman and Sutisna Sutisna

---------- Summary ----------

This paper proposes an Energy Performance Index (EPI) analysis an indicator of energy consumption by machines in producing products. The smaller the EPI value, the higher the engine work efficiency.
---------- Reviewer general recommendation ----------
MAJOR REVISION (RE-SUBMITTED), not accepted yet.
---------- Detailed Comments ----------
NEGATIVES:
1. Authors mentioned in the title "Perfomance Comparison" but actually you have not compared your results with others. There is no table of comparison with previous works.
2. What device you used to measure the EPI? Can you show it in the diagram of Fig.4, where did you attach that device?
Other comments have been put below.

POSITIVES:
1. The structure is OK.
2. The references is quite relevant.
---------- Organization ----------
Authors mentioned in the title "Perfomance Comparison" but actually you have not compared your results with others.
---------- Relevance ----------
Quite relevant.
---------- Presentation clarity ----------
Some figures are not adequate yet.
1. Please increase the resolution of Fig.1 to make a better look (view), especially in the sensor unit part (it is blur). Put Fig.1 at the top of the 1st column of page 2. One process cycle..compared to what/which other process?
2. Flow chart of Fig.2. The authors are still not consistent. If you put YES, write all with YES, not with Y and YES. The other No is not placed accurately. Also, what does it mean with Counting and Counting set = 120? Did you place them in the right place?
3. Fig.3, what is mendong? No definition at all. machine should be Machine. Moven should be Woven. Why there are two Fig.3? One should be Fig.4. And in this Fig, the caption is slightly cut (central unit). Also the scale is not correct (if you considered, because you put the dimensions here). But, if you don't consider, then you can say *the figure is not scale.
---------- Novelty ----------
Medium level of originality because there were some researchers already did research work in this field.
---------- Method ----------
Not really accurate, because we don't know for how long they measured the total 10 repetitions.
---------- Impact and Contribution ----------
Not really important over time. a little contribution because they failed to compare their results with other previous works.
---------- References ----------
OK, but not adequate enough.
In [4], you mentioned that they made it in 42.5 minutes. While in [9], you said that the other researchers made it even worst: 1 hour 10 minutes. But, what about your measurement results? How long you did it? You did not mentioned anything about your measurement time for 10 repetitions.

[9] is written wrongly. Please make a correction. The author is only I. Hilman, but how come you made so many authors.

[1] is also written wrongly. In Title and Journal name (don't use capital).

2 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail


ICSECC_Copyrigh...


ICSECC2020_Pap...

Reply | Reply all | Forward

Dear Prof./Dr./Mr./Ms./Mrs., Nurul Hiron, Firmansyah M S Nursuwars, Supratman Supratman and Sutisna Sutisna,

We are pleased to inform you that your paper:

PaperID : 87
Title : Performance Comparison of Semi-Automatic Machine for Mendong Woven Industrial

has passed the review process and is ACCEPTED for presentation at the 2020 International Conference on Sustainable Engineering and Creative Computing (ICSECC)

It is mandatory to prepare the camera ready paper as per the instructions listed on https://icsecc.president.ac.id/ and your paper will not be published unless the following are done:

1. Revise your paper(s) according to the reviewers' comments. The detailed reviews are at the bottom of this email.
2. The accepted similarity level is a maximum of 20% which you may check using Turnitin or other similar plagiarism checks.
3. Upload your camera-ready paper according to Easychair by 05 December 2020 (hard deadline)
4. Fill the registration form and upload your payment proof at https://bit.ly/ICSECC2020
   Before you fill in the form, please prepare the proof of payment and proof of your student status (for student registration)
5. Fully-completed signed IEEE copyright form and send it to icsecc2020@president.ac.id with subject: Copyright 2020-your paperID. Later, an IEEE Electronic Copyright will be sent to your email after successful registration.
6. Accepted paper must be presented live by the author at the virtual conference event. Additionally, the author is required to Submit the recorded video of your presentation using Zoom to icsecc2020@president.ac.id, video duration max 10 minutes, before 10 December 2020 with the subject: RecordedPresentation-your paperID

Please be reminded that the due date for **early bird registration** is **30 November 2020**. At least one author has to register for the conference.

Please let us know if you have any questions regarding registration.

20 November 2020.
With a warmest regards,

Ir. Rila Mandala M.Eng., Ph.D
General Chair of ICSECC2020