

REFERENCES

- Alabi, J., & Weare, Jr., W. H. (2014). Peer Review of Teaching: Best Practices for a Non-Programatic Approach. *Communication in Information Literacy*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.15760/comminfolit.2014.8.2.171>.
- Alshenqeeti, H. (2014). Interviewing as a Data Collection Method: A Critical Review. *English Linguistic Research*, 3(1), 39-44. doi:10.5430/elr.v3n1p39.
- Akpinar, M. (2019). The Effect of Peer Assessment on Pre-Service Teachers' Teaching Practices. *Education and Science*, 44(200), 269-290. doi:10.15390/EB.2019.8077.
- Avalos, B. (2011). Teacher professional development in teaching and teacher education over ten years. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 27(1), 10-20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2010.08.007>.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Brix, J., Grainger, P., & Hill, A. (2014). Investigating Mandatory Peer Review of Teaching In Schools. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 39(4). <http://dx.doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2014v39n4.3>.
- Canbate, D., Nogue, L., Serra, T., & Colomer, J. (2019). Supportive Peer Feedback in Tertiary Education Analysis of Pre-Service Teachers Perceptions. *Education Sciences*. *Education Sciences*. doi:10.3390/educsci9040280.
- Dhillon, C. K. (2013). Identifying Essential Teaching Skills. *Scholarly Research Journal For Interdisciplinary Studies*, 2(11), 1613-1618.
- Fileborn, B., Wood, M., & Loughnan, C. (2020). Peer reviews of teaching as appreciative inquiry: learning from “the best” of our colleagues. *Higher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-020-00637-9>.
- Galaczi, E., Nye, A., Poulter, M., & Allen, H. (2018). *Teacher Professional Development*. Cambridge Assessment English. UCLES.

- Gaynor, J. (2019). Peer review in the classroom: student perceptions, peer feedback quality and the role of assessment. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2019.1697424>.
- Gibson, J. L., Ivancevich, J. M., Donnelly, J. H., & Konopaske, R. (2012). *Organizations: Behaviour, Structure, Processes (Fourteenth Edition)*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Gielen, S., Peeters, E., Onghena, P., & Struyen, K. (2010). Improving the effectiveness of peer feedback for learning. *Learning and Instruction*, 304-315. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2009.08.007.
- Goradia, T. (2018). Role of Educational Technologies the TPACK Framework and 21st Century Pedagogies: Academics' Perspectives. *IAFOR Journal of Education* 6(3), 43-61.
- Hattie, J & Timperley, H. (2007). The Power of Feedback. *Review of Educational Research* 77(1), 81-112. doi:10.3102/003465430298487.
- Hood, M. (2009). Case Study. *Qualitative Research in Applied Linguistic: A Practical Introduction*, 60-90.
- Hyland, K., Dhaliwal, G., Goldberg, A.N., Chen, L., & Land, K. (2018). Peer review of teaching: insight from a 10-year experience. *Medical Science Educators*, 675-681. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-018-0611-9>.
- Khamis, N., & Bakar, A. (2012). A Case Study on Peer Review and Lecturer Evaluation in an Academic Setting. *Asian Social Science*, 8(16). doi: 10.5539/ass.v8n16p192.
- Koehler, M. J. & Mishra, P. (2009). What Is Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge?. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, 9(1), 60-70.
- Koross, R. (2016). Micro teaching an efficient technique for learning effective teaching skills: Preservice teachers' perspective. *IRA International Journal of Education and Multidisciplinary Studies*, 4(2), 289-299. doi: 10.21013/irajems.v4.n2.p7.
- Mannathoko, M. C. (2013). Does Teaching Practice Effectively Prepare Student-Teachers to Teach Creative and Performing Arts? The Case of

- Botswana. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 2(2), 115-121. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v2n2p115>.
- Megawati, F. (2017). Peer observation of teaching: pre-service teachers' perspectives for better performance. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research (ASSEHR)*, 125.
- Money Penny, D., Evans, M., & Kraha, A. (2018). Student Perceptions of and Attitudes toward Peer Review. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 32(4), 236-247. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08923647.2018.1509425>.
- Nagler, K. S. (2016). Effective Classroom Management & Positive Teaching. *English Language Teaching*, 9(1). doi:10.5539/elt.v9n1p163.
- Nuzulia, R. (2016). Pre-service teachers' perception on the reflective teaching practice in micro teaching subject of English language Education Department of Universitas Islam Indonesia.
- Pardo, A. N., & Tellez, M. F. (2015). Reflection on Teachers' Personal and Professional Growth Through a Material Development Seminar. *HOW*, 22(2), 54-74.
- Rani, B. (2011). Classification of the Teaching Skills based on Q-Methodology using the Perceptions of Sec. School Teacher. *International Journal of Educational Planning & Administration*, 1(2), 141-150. <http://www.ripublication.com/ijepa.htm>.
- Schmidt, D., et.al. (2009). Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK): The Development and Validation of an Assessment Instrument for Preservice Teachers. *International Society for Technology in Education*. 42(2), 123-149.
- Taufiqulloh, Yuvita & Yulianto, I. (2016). Utilizing Peer and Teacher Feedback in Academic Writing Class. *ENGLISH REVIEW: Journal of English Education*, 4(2). <https://journal.uniku.ac.id/index.php/ERJEE>.
- Thurlings, M. (2012). Peer to peer feedback: A study on teachers' feedback process. Eindhoven University of Technology. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/235995020>.

- Tran, T. B., Ho, T. N., Mackenzie, S.V., & Le, L.K. (2017). Developing Assessment Criteria of a Lesson for Creativity to Promote Teaching for Creativity. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 10-26.
- Zeng, L. M. (2020). Embedding teaching expertise framework in peer review of teaching (PRT) practices and research: Implications from a systematic review of PRT's impact on the professional development of university teachers. *Educational Research Review*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2020.100333>.