REFERENCES

- Arumi, Marta and Olga Esteve (2006). Using Instruments Aimed at Self-Regulation in the Consecutive Interpreting Classroom: Two Case Studies. Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching, 3, 2, pp. 158-189.
- Barik, H. C. (1994). A description of various types of omissions, additions and errors of translation encountered in simultaneous interpretation. *Bridging the gap: Empirical research in simultaneous interpretation*, *3*, 121-137.
- Barik, Henri C. (1969). A Study of Simultaneous Interpretation. Unpublished Ph.D. disertation. Ann Arbor, MI, UMI, Dissertation Abstracts.
- Braun, V., & Clarke. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative research in psychology, 3(2), 77-101.
- Braun, V. & Clarke, V. (2012) Thematic analysis. In H. Cooper, P. M. Camic, D. L. Long, A. T. Panter, D. Rindskopf, & K. J. Sher (Eds), APA handbook of research methods in psychology, Vol. 2: Research designs: Quantitative, qualitative, neuropsychological, and biological (pp. 57-71). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Bryman, A. (2008). Why do researchers integrate/ combine/ mesh/ blend/ mix/ merge/ fuse quantitative and qualitative research. Advances in mixed methods research. pp. 87-100.
- Casares, J, et al. Simplifying Video Editing Usng Metadata. In Proceedings of the 4th Conference on Designing Interactive Systems: Processes, Practices, Method, and Techniques. (pp. 157-166).
- Chapelle, C. (1997). CALL in the year 2000: Still in search of research paradigms? Language Learning & Technology, 1(1), 19-43.
- Chiaro, Delia and Giuseppe Nocella (2004). Interpreters Perception of Linguistic and Non-Linguistic Factors Affecting Quality: A Survey Throughthe World Wide Web. Meta, 49, 2, pp. 278-293.
- Clarke, C.C. (1918). The phonograph in modern language teaching. The Modern. Language Journal, 3(3), 116-122.
- Dufon, M. (2002). Video recording in ethnographic SLA research: Some issues of validity in data collection.
- Ferdig, R. E. (2006). Assessing Teechnologies for Teaching and Learning: Understanding the Importance of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge. British Journal of Educational Technology, 37(5), 746-760.

- Gile, Daniel (1995). Fidelity Assessment in Consecutive Interpretation: An Experiment. Target 7(1), Special Issue on Interpreting Research, pp. 151-164.
- Gile, Daniel (1999). Prospects an Challenges of Interdisciplinarity in Research on Conference Interpreting. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Translation Studies. National Taiwan Normal University, GITI, pp. 87-94.
- Golshan, N., & Tafazoli, D. (2014). Technology Enhanced Language Learning Tools in Iranian EFL Context: Frequencies, Attitude, and Challenges. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 136, 114-118.
- Hasan, A. S. (2000). Learners' Perceptions of Listening Comprehension Problems. Language Culture and Curriculum. 13 (2), 137-153.
- Hermann, Alfred. [1956] 2002. "Interpreting in antiquity." In The Interpreting Studies Reader, F. Pöchhacker & M. Shlesinger (eds), 15–22. London & New York: Routledge.
- Jones, R. (2017). Conference Interpreting Explained. Routledge. pp. 3.
- Jones, T., & Cuthrell, K. (2011). Youtube: Educational Potentials and Pitfalls. Computer in The Schools 28.1 (2011): 75-85
- Kenny, D. 2007. "Translation Memories and Parallel Corpora: Challenges for the Translation Trainer." In Across Boundaries: International Perspectives on Translation, edited by D.
- Koshkin, R, et. al. (2018). Testing the efforts model of simultaneous interpreting: An ERP study. *PloS one*, *13*(10), e0206129.
- Lambert, S. (2004). Shared attention during sight translation, sight interpretation and simultaneous interpretation. *Meta: Journal des traducteurs/Meta: Translators' Journal*, 49(2), 294-306.
- Levy, M. (2009). Technologies in use for second language learning. The Modern Language Journal, 93, 769-782.
- Mayora, C. A. (2009). Using YouTube to encourage authentic writing in EFL classrooms. *TESL Reporter*, 42(1), 1-12.
- Oxford, R. L. & Lin (2011). Autonomous learners in digital realms: Exploring strategies for effective digital language learning. In B. Morrison (Ed.),

- Independent language learning: Building on experience, seeking new perspectives (pp.157-171). Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press.
- Pohhacker, F. (2010). Simultaneous Interpreting. Handbook of Translation Studies. John Benjamin Publishing Company. Edited by Yves Gambier and Luc van Doorslaer. Pp. 153.
- Russo, M. (2010). Simultaneous Interpreting. Handbook of Translation Studies. John Benjamin Publishing Company. Edited by Yves Gambier and Luc van Doorslaer. Pp. 333.
- Shlesinger, Miriam (2004). Doorstep Intersubisciplinarity and Beyond. In C. Schaffner, ed., Translation Research and Interpreting Research. Traditions, Gaps and Synergies. Clavedon, Multilingual Matters, pp. 116-123.
- Villada, E.G. (2009). CALL evaluation for early foreign language learning: A review of the literature and a framework for evaluation. CALICO Journal, 26(2), 363-389.
- Wood, D. (2012). Sony Vegas Pro 11. Beginner's Guide. Packt Publishing Ltd.
- Yang, K. (2018, January). A Study of the Video Camera Function in the Simultaneous Interpreting Laboratory. In 2017 5th International Education, Economics, Social Science, Arts, Sports and Management Engineering Conference (IEESASM 2017). Atlantis Press.
- Yang, S. C., & Chen (2007). Technology-enhanced language learning: A case study. *Computers in human behavior*, 23(1), 860-879.
- Yin, K. 2003. Case Study Research Design and Methods. Sage Publications.
- Zainal, Z. (2007). Case study as a research method. Jurnal Kemanusiaan, 5(1).