

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Almolhodaei, Hassan. (2002). Students' Cognitive Style and Mathematical Word Problem Solving. *Journal of the Korea Society of Mathematical Education Series D Research in Mathematical Education*. 6(2), 171–182.
- Anastasi, A. (1996): *Psychological testing*. 7th edition. New York: Macmillan
- Ariefia, H E., As'ari, A R., Susanto, H. (2016). Proses Berpikir Siswa Dalam Menyelesaikan Permasalahan Pada Materi Trigonometri. *Jurnal Pembelajaran Matematika*, 3, 28-32.
- Ayllón, M., Gómez, I., & Ballesta-Claver, J. (2016). Mathematical thinking and creativity through mathematical problem posing and solving. *Propósitos y Representaciones*, 4(1), 169-218. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.20511/pyr2016.v4n1.89>
- Basir, M A (2015) Kemampuan Penalaran Siswa Dalam Pemecahan Masalah Matematis Ditinjau Dari Gaya Kognitif. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Unissula*. 3 (1): 106-114
- Bergqvist, E. (2007). Types of reasoning required in university exams in mathematics. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 26(4), 348-370.
- Bergqvist, T.; Lithner, J.; Sumpter, L., (2008). Upper Secondary Students' Task Reasoning, *International journal of mathematical education in science and technology*, 39 (1), 1-12 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00207390701464675>
- Bergqvist, T., & Lithner, J. (2012). Mathematical reasoning in teachers' presentations. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 31(2), 252-269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2011.12.002>.
- Boesen, J., Helenius, O., Lithner, J., Bergqvist, E., Bergqvist, T., Palm, T., et al. (2014). Developing mathematical competence: From the intended to the enacted curriculum. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 33, 72–87.
- Bray, W. & Santagata, R. (2013). Proceedings on Annual Meeting Association of Mathematics Teacher Educators: Developing teaching capacity for making productive use of mathematical errors. Orlando Florida.
- Carson, J. (2007). A problem with problem solving: Teaching thinking without teaching knowledge. *The mathematics educator*, 17(2).

- Clements, M. A., & Ellerton, N. F. (1980). The Newman Procedure For Analysing Errors On Written Mathematical Tasks. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*.
- Dillon, A., & Gabbard, R. (1998). *Hypermedia as an educational technology: A review of the quantitative research literature on learner comprehension, control, and style*. *Review of Educational Research*, 68(3), 322–349.
- Evans, C. (2004). Exploring the relationship between cognitive style and teaching style. *Educational Psychology*, 24, 509-530.
- Gagatsis, A., & Kyriakides, L. (2000). Teachers' attitudes towards their pupils' mathematical errors. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 6(1), 24–58. [https://doi.org/10.1076/1380-3611\(200003\)6:1;1-i;ft024](https://doi.org/10.1076/1380-3611(200003)6:1;1-i;ft024)
- Granberg, C., & Olsson, J. (2015). ICT-supported problem solving and collaborative creative reasoning: Exploring linear functions using dynamic mathematics. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 37, 48–62.
- Guisande, A. M., Páramo, F., Soares, A. P., & Almeida, L. S. (2007). Field dependence-independence and career counseling: Directions for research. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 104, 654-662.
- Guse, I. K. (2017). Mathematical writing errors in expository writings of college mathematics students. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 6(3), 233–242. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v6i3.8549>
- Haylock, D., (1997). Recognising mathematical creativity in schoolchildren. *Zentralblatt fuer Didaktik der Mathematik*, 29(3):68–74.
- Hendriana, H., Prahmana, R. C. I., & Hidayat, W. (2018). Students' Performance Skills in Creative Mathematical Reasoning. *Infinity*, 7(2), 83-96. [doi:10.22460/infinity.v7i2.p83-96](https://doi.org/10.22460/infinity.v7i2.p83-96).
- Herdiman, I. (2017). Penerapan pendekatan open-ended untuk meningkatkan penalaran matematik siswa SMP. *JES-MAT (Jurnal Edukasi Dan Sains Matematika)*, 3(2), 195-204
- Hiebert, J., & Grouws, D. A. (2007). The effects of classroom mathematics teaching on students' learning. *Second handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning* (vol. 1).
- Hidayat, W (2017). *Adversity Quotient Dan Penalaran Kreatif Matematis Siswa Sma Dalam Pembelajaran Argument Driven Inquiry Pada Materi Turunan Fungsi*. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 2(1), 18-28

- Hidayat, W., & Sariningsih, R. (2018). Kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematis dan adversity quotient siswa SMP melalui pembelajaran open ended. *Jurnal JNPM (Jurnal Nasional Pendidikan Matematika)*, 2(1), 109-118.
- Hidayat, W., Wahyudin, & Prabawanto, S. (2018). Improving students' creative mathematical reasoning ability students through adversity quotient and argument driven inquiry learning. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 948(1), 12005. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/948/1/012005>.
- Ingram, J., Baldry, F. & Pitt, A. (2013). Proceedings on Eight Congress of the European Society for Research in Mathematic Education: The influence of how teachers interactionalily manage mathematical mistakes on the mathematics that student experience. Antalya, Turki.
- Isnaeni, S., Fajriyah, L., Risky, E. S., Purwasih, R., & Hidayat, W. (2018). Analisis kemampuan penalaran matematis dan kemandirian belajar siswa SMP pada materi persamaan garis lurus. *Journal of Medives*, 2(1), 107-115. <https://doi.org/10.31331/medives.v2i1.528>.
- Khoshaim, H. B (2018). Inattentive Students Errors in Mathematics: Alarming Repetition International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, Vol. 17, No. 11, pp. 135-153. Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.17.11.9>
- Limbach, B., & Waugh, W. (2010). Developing higher level thinking. Journal of Instructional Pedagogies. Cadron State College.
- Limardani, G., T. Dinawati, dan A. Fatahillah. (2015). Analisis kesulitan siswa dalam menyelesaikan soal operasi aljabar berdasarkan teori pemahaman skemp pada siswa kelas VIII D SMP Negeri 4 Jember. *Artikel Ilmiah Mahasiswa*.1(1): 1-7.
- Lithner, J. (2008). A Research Framework for Creative and Imitative Reasoning. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 67(3), 255-276.
- Lithner, J. (2017). Principles for designing mathematical tasks that enhance imitative and creative reasoning. *ZDM*, 49, 937-949. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-017-0867-3>.
- Mason, J., Burton, L. & Stacey, K. (2010). Thinking Mathematically Second Edition. England: Pearson Education Limited
- Messick, S. (1996). Bridging cognition and personality in education: The role of style in performance and development. *European Journal of Personality*, 10, 353-376.


- Miles, Matthew B dan Amichael Huberman. (2007). *Analisis Data Kualitatif Buku Sumber tentang Metode-Metode Baru*. Terjemahan Tjetjep Rohendi Rohisi. Jakarta: Universitas Indonesia.
- Moleong, L.J. (2017). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif Edisi Revisi*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Naidoo, K., & Naidoo, R. (2007). Students understanding of the derivative in a blended learning environment: Teaching Calculus in a blended learning environment. *The International Journal of Learning*, 14(4), 193–202. <https://doi.org/10.18848/1447-9494/cgp/v14i04/45279>
- Nasriadi, A (2016). Berpikir Reflektif Siswa Smp Dalam Memecahkan Masalah Matematika Ditinjau Dari Perbedaan Gaya Kognitif. ISSN 2355-0074, 3(1), 15-26
- Ngilawajan, D. A. (2013). Proses Berpikir Siswa SMA dalam memecahkan masalah matematika materi turunan ditinjau dari gaya kognitif field independent dan field dependent. *PEDAGOGIA: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 2(1), 71–83.
- Nurhardiani, & Syawahid, M. (2017). Kemampuan Berfikir Formal Siswa Sma Ditinjau Dari Gaya Kognitif *Field Dependent* Dan *Field Independent*. *Jurnal Tatsqif*, 15(2), 192-210
- Noda, A. (2000). *Aspectos epistemológicos y cognitivos de la resolución de problemas de matemáticas, bien y mal definidos. Un estudio con alumnos del primer ciclo de la ESO y maestros en formación* (Tesis doctoral inédita). Universidad de la Laguna, España.
- Norqvist, M., Jonsson, B., Lithner, J., Q, T., & Holm, L (2019). Investigating algorithmic and Penalaran Kreatif strategies by eye tracking. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2019.03.008>.
- Ponte, J. P., Pereira, M.J., & Henriques, A. (2012). *O raciocínio matemático nos alunos do ensino básico e do ensino superior*. *Praxis Educativa*, 7(2), 355-377.
- Porter, M. K, & Masingila, M. O. (1995). The effect of writing to earn mathematics on the types of errors students make in a college calculus class. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the North American Chapter of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education (17th PME-NA, Columbus, OH, October 21-24).
- Price, L. (2004). Individual differences in learning: Cognitive control, cognitive style, and learning style. *Educational Psychology*, 24, 681-698.

- Rach, S., Ufer, S., & Heinze, A. (2013). Learning from errors: effect of teachers training on students' attitudes towards and their individual use of errors. *PNA*, 8(1), 21-30.
- Rahayuningsih, Puspita. 2014. Analisis Kesalahan Siswa dalam Menyelesaikan Soal Cerita Sistem Persamaan Linear Dua Variabel (SPLDV) dan Scaffoldingnya Berdasarkan Tahapan Analisis Kesalahan Newman Pada Siswa Kelas VIII SMP Negeri 2 Malang. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika dan Sains Tahun II*, No. 2.
- Safrida, L. N., Susanto, S., & Kurniati, D. (2015). Analisis Proses Berpikir Siswa Dalam Pemecahan Masalah Terbuka Berbasis Polya Sub Pokok Bahasan Tabung Kelas IX SMP Negeri 7 Jember. *KadikmA*, 6(1).
- Saracho, O. N. (1998): *Editor's* introduction cognitive style research and its relationship to various disciplines. *International Journal of Educational Research* 29, 169–172.
- Saracho, O. N. (2000). A framework for effective classroom teaching: Matching teachers' and students' cognitive styles. In R. J. Riding & S. G. Rayner (Eds.), *International perspectives on individual differences: Vol. 1. Cognitive styles* (pp. 297-314). Stamford, CT: Ablex.
- Singh, P., A. A. Rahman, dan T. S. Hoon. (2010). The newman procedure for analyzing primary four pupils errors on written mathematical tasks: a malaysian perspective. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*. (8): 264-271.
- Slameto. (2015). *Belajar dan Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhinya*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta
- Solso, R. L. (1995). *Cognitive Psychology*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Solso, Robert. Dkk. (2008). *Psikologi Kognitif Edisi Delapan*. Jakarta: Erlangga
- Sternberg, Robert J. (1999). The Nature of Mathematical Reasoning dalam Lee V. Stiff dan Frances R. Curcio (edt) *Developing Mathematical Reasoning in Grades K-12*, 37-44. Virginia USA: NCTM.
- Sternberg, R. J., & Williams, W. M. (2002). *Educational Psychology*. Boston: Allyn-Bacon.
- Sternberg, R. J., Grigorenko, E. L., & Zahn, L. F. (2008). Styles of learning and thinking matter in instruction and assessment. *Perspective on Psychology Science*, 3, 486-506.

- Sugiyono. (2017). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung : Alfabeta, CV.
- Sulistiawati. (2014). Analisis kesulitan belajar kemampuan penalaran matematis siswa SMP pada materi luas permukaan dan volume limas. STKIP Surya. ISBN : 978 – 602 – 14432 – 2 – 4
- Sulistyorini, Y (2017). Analisis Kesalahan Dan Scaffolding Dalam Penyelesaian Persamaan Diferensial. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, Vol. 2, No. 1
- Velez, I. dan Ponte, J.P.D.A (2013). *Representations and reasoning strategies of Grade 3 students in problem solving*. Dalam B. Ubuz., C. Haser., M.A. Marioti (Eds). *Proceedings of the Eight Congress of the European Society for Research in Mathematics Education* (pp. 383-391). Ankara: Middle East Technical University.
- Veloo, A., Krishnasamy, H. N., & Wan Abdullah, W. S. (2015). *Types of student errors in mathematical symbols, graphs and problem-solving*. *Asian Social Science*, 11(15), 324-334. doi: <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v11n15p324>
- White, A. L. (2005). *Active Mathematics In Classrooms: Finding Out Why Children Make Mistakes – And Then Doing Something To Help Them*. Square One. <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.472.9065&rep=rep1&type=pdf>.
- White, A. L. (2010). *Numeracy, Literacy and Newman's Error Analysis*. *Journal of Science and Mathematics Education in Southeast Asia*. Vol. 33 No. 2, 129 – 148
- Wijaya, A. A. dan M. Masriyah. (2013). Analisis Kesalahan Siswa dalam Menyelesaikan Soal Cerita Sistem Persamaan Linear Dua Variabel. <http://ejournal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/mathedunesa/article/view/1453>.
- Witkin, H. A., Moore, C. A, Goodenough, D.R., dan Cox, P.W. (1977). *Field Dependent and Field Independent Cognitive Style and Their Educational Implications*. *Reviews of Educational Research* 47. Pp.1-64.
- Witkin, H.A. dkk, (1979). *Field Dependent and Field Independent Cognitive Styles and Their Education Implication*, New York: American Education Research Journal.
- Woolfolk, A. E. (1997). *Educational Psychology*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Yohanie, D D., Sujadi, i., Usodo, B. (2016). Proses berpikir mahasiswa pendidikan, matematika dalam pemecahan masalah pembuktian tahun akademik 2014/2015. *JMEE*, Volume VI, 1,

Lampiran 1 Instrumen Gaya Kognitif

Instrumen *Group Embedded Figure Test* (GEFT)



Nama :
Kelas /No.Absen :
JenisKelamin :
Tempat/tanggal lahir :
Tanggal(hari ini) :


PENJELASAN

Tes ini dimaksudkan untuk menguji kemampuan anda dalam menemukan bentuk sederhana yang tersembunyi pada gambar rumit.

Gambar berikut merupakan gambar sederhana yang diberi nama "X"



Bentuk sederhana diberi nama "X" tersembunyi di dalam gambar yang lebih rumit di bawah ini



Coba temukan bentuk sederhana "X" tersebut pada gambar rumit dan tebalkanlah dengan pensil bentuk yang anda temukan. Bentuk yang ditebalkan bentuk yang **ukurannya sama atau perbandingan dan arah menghadap yang sama** dengan bentuk sederhana "X"

Jika anda selesai baliklah halaman ini untuk memeriksa

jawaban anda. JAWABAN

