# CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH PROCEDURES

#### **3.1 Method of the Research**

This study used qualitative research with a descriptive case study method. According to Nassaji (2020), qualitative research aims to thoroughly understand phenomena experienced by research subjects, such as behavior, perceptions, motivations, actions, etc., using various natural methods in natural contexts. According to Hendryadi et al. (2019), qualitative research is naturalistic.

Case studies are empirical investigations investigating contemporary phenomena in real-life contexts (Yin, 2018). Martinsuo and Huemann (2021) stated that case studies, in their true essence, explore and investigate contemporary reallife phenomena through detailed contextual analysis of a limited number of events or conditions and their relationships. A descriptive case study is a method used to examine the status of groups, people, objects, a set of conditions, a system of thought, or a class of events in the present.

This research is suitable for this method because it allows the researcher to investigate the phenomenon that happens in the nearest environment, namely to investigate peer feedback in the public speaking course. By thoroughly investigating a given case, the researcher can get insights into the underlying mechanisms and processes that are invisible using other research approaches.

#### **3.2 Focus of the Research**

The focus of this research was to reveal the types of peer feedback that can motivate students to conduct speaking performances in a public speaking course.

#### **3.3 Setting and Participants**

A research setting is a place related to research targets or problems and a type of data source the researcher can utilize. This research was conducted in one public speaking class at one of the universities in West Java, Indonesia. The public speaking class has applied peer feedback techniques to evaluate students' public speaking practice. The students made short speeches on different topics, and then they were given feedback by their peers, who had been determined in advance by the lecturer. They were also given a special format that must be filled in when giving feedback.

Participants in this study were three EFL students who have experienced getting peer feedback in their public speaking course. They are three English Education Department students in the same class from the 2020 academic year who are around 21 years old. They took a public speaking course that provided peer feedback practice to evaluate their public speaking performance in the previous semester.

Three abbreviations indicate each participant, namely P1 is the first participant, P2 is the second participant, and P3 is the third participant. Before determining the participants, the researcher conducted a pre-interview with around fifteen students about the use of peer feedback that had been implemented in public speaking classes in the previous semester because they were considered to know the information and problems in depth based on their experience so that the data source was reliable. There were several participants selected based on the scores obtained including low, middle and high scores. Apart from that, some of these students still remember well how peer feedback was implemented in the public speaking class in the previous semester. Then three students were selected who were willing to become participants, namely two students who got middle scores and one student who got low score.

# 3.4 Technique of Collecting Data

The researcher used a semi-structured interview consisting of open-ended questions as the technique to collect the data. The researcher used Chi's concept (1996) regarding the types of peer feedback as interview guidelines, namely: (1) corrective feedback, (2) reinforcing feedback, (3) didactic feedback, and (4) suggestive feedback.

The interview process began by agreeing with the participants regarding the time needed to conduct the interview. The researcher then asked several questions in the interview guide and added several questions beyond the questions in the interview guide to further deepen the research. To be more flexible in determining time with participants, interviews were conducted online via voice notes on the WhatsApp platform. The researcher then transcribed the recordings for further analysis.

#### 3.5 Technique of Analyzing Data

This study used an interactive analysis method based on Miles, Huberman, and Saldana (2014) to analyze interview data. According to Miles, Huberman, and Saldana (2014), in qualitative data analysis, there are three steps of data analysis activities: data condensation, data display, and conclusion drawing/verification.

## **3.5.1 Data Condensation**

After the data is collected and transcribed, then data condensation is created. Data condensation refers to selecting, simplifying, and transforming data that approaches the entirety of written field notes, interview transcripts, documents, and other empirical materials. The processes are selecting, focusing, simplifying, and transforming the data that appears in the transcription of information obtained from data collection to select relevant and meaningful data, focusing data that leads to discovery, and answering research questions. Only data findings related to the research problem are analyzed in the data condensation process. In contrast, data irrelevant or unrelated to the research themes and questions is not used.

Table 3.1	. Data C	Condensation
-----------	----------	--------------

Original Data	<b>Condensed Data</b>				
Personally, not me. Because the	For me, peer feedback does not				
purpose of this feedback is to improve demotivate me from learning, even					
my speaking performance, I never feel	though the feedback I receive will				
a loss of motivation, even if the focus on my weaknesses. Explaining in					
feedback I receive is mostly about my detail my public speaking					
shortcomings, which means that my	performance, such as the weaknesses				
speaking performance still has much to	and strengths of my performance,				
improve. Being explained one by one					

by friends, such as why my interaction with the audience is still lacking, how my gestures look nervous and lacking in confidence, can make me understand my shortcomings and why they are still called lacking. So, I was motivated to improve and learn more from my friend's explanations.

For me, suggestions from friends increase my motivation to study. I am more motivated to fix it because I was given several alternatives. Moreover, my friends gave exciting suggestions on how to improve my English skills and public speaking performance, as well as how to use sophisticated technology that is easily accessible.

Yes, this praise affects motivation. It increases my motivation to study because it indirectly gives me positive energy. I became enthusiastic because I had done something good, so I was given good feedback from other people regarding my performance, which they thought was good. Apart from that, I also became motivated to learn to improve on other shortcomings. The positive energy from praise influences my mood so that when I receive peer motivated me to perform better in the future by trying to learn again.

In my opinion, giving suggestions from friends can increase my motivation to study. This is because my friend gave me alternative ways to correct mistakes and efforts to improve my appearance to make it better. My friends will usually give varied suggestions that involve sophisticated technology that is easily accessible.

Praise given by friends can increase my motivation to study through the positive energy it provides. Appreciation for the strengths of my appearance puts me in a better mood and more motivated to improve the shortcomings that I still have in my public speaking appearance. feedback, I think about corrections and praise for my strengths, which makes me optimistic that I can do it and increases my motivation to learn.

The transcribed data is initialized into codes by interpreting and grouping the data into categories. This is needed to help the researcher see the answers to their research questions from the large pile of interview data. Therefore, a method is needed to conduct qualitative analysis to produce a rich analysis. A code can be presented with a word or short phrase describing the meaning of a data group. Most codes were developed from the same participants' responses. This shows that the participants' responses used the same code. Examples of code initialization are shown in the table below.

Table 3.2 Initialing Codes

Data	Initial Codes			
I received feedback about what I	Grammar correction			
should learn more from my				
mistakes in my performance. For				
example, my friend mentioned				
parts of my grammar mistakes and				
the correct grammar, which				
encouraged me to study better.				

My friend also corrected my pronunciation of English words, which were still inaccurate and had wrong intonation. He mentioned my mistake and suggested checking several applications I could practice on. Thus, I am more enthusiastic about learning public speaking better.

# • Pronunciation and intonation correction

During my public speaking performance, I had a weakness in that my body gestures looked monotonous and unrelaxing. According to my friends, I still looked nervous and made a lot of unnecessary movements. Having corrections from friends motivated me to learn more and improve my shortcomings.

When my friend said he agreed with my choice of topics appropriate to the audience's age, I felt appreciated for my efforts in choosing exciting topics. Apart from some shortcomings in my appearance, I also have advantages, which motivates me to study again because I believe I can.

This feedback is in the form of a compliment given by a friend to the strengths of my performance, which makes me happy because it means there is something I have done well so that my friend gives me praise. So, I was even more motivated to continue trying to deliver speeches in other ways that were not • Gesture correction

• Agreement

• praise

boring either because my friend praised my speech delivery skills.

Being explained individually by friends, such as why my interaction with the audience is still lacking and how my gestures look nervous and lack confidence, can help me understand my shortcomings and why they are still lacking. So, I was motivated to improve and learn more from my friends' explanations.

My friend gave me suggestions for improving my English skills and my public speaking performance, as well as using sophisticated technology that is easily accessible. So, I can be more motivated to fix it because I was given several alternatives.

• Lengthy explanation

• Suggestion

After initializing the data into code, the next step is pattern coding. A conceptual framework was used to classify codes based on Chi's (1996) concept of four types of peer feedback: corrective feedback, reinforcing feedback, didactic feedback, and suggestive feedback.

Table 3.3 Generating Pattern Codes

#### **Corrective Feedback**

- Grammar correction
- Pronunciation and intonation correction
- Gesture correction

#### **Reinforcing Feedback**

- Agreement
- Praise

## **Didactic Feedback**

• Lengthy explanation

# **Suggestive Feedback**

• Suggestion

The coding pattern is based on initializing similar codes from all participants, which are then classified into a conceptual framework according to each code's theme.

# **3.5.2 Data Display**

The next step after data condensation is data display. Data display is the organization and unification of information that allows conclusions. Data display helps to understand what is happening and to do something, including deeper analysis or taking action based on understanding. Data display can be in writing or words, images, graphs, and tables. The purpose of presenting data is to combine information to illustrate the circumstances that occurred. In this case, so that researchers do not have difficulty

In mastering information, either as a whole or certain parts of the research results, the researcher must create narratives, matrices, or graphs to make it easier to master the information or data. The researcher presented data in tabular form adapted to codes and conceptual frameworks in this study. Data display can help the researcher understand the importance of the data. An example of a data display is shown in the table below. This is to present the data set briefly.

Indicator	P1	P2	P3			
Corrective	I receive feedback	My friend	During my public			
Feedback	about what I need	mentioned my	speaking			
	to learn more	pronunciation and	appearance, I had			
	from mistakes in	grammar mistakes	a weakness in that			
	my performance;	and then told me	my body gestures			
	for example, my	how they were	looked			
	friend points out	correct, so I knew	monotonous and			
	parts of my	where my mistakes	unrelaxing.			
	pronunciation	were, the	According to my			
	mistakes and	shortcomings of my	friends, I still			
	practices the	public speaking	looked nervous			
	correct	performance, and	and made a lot of			
	pronunciation.	what were the	unnecessary			
		correct ones, which	movements.			
		then increased my	Having			
		motivation to learn	corrections from			
		to correct my	friends motivated			
		shortcomings. I	me to learn more			
		became motivated	and improve my			
		to learn more from	shortcomings.			
		my friend's				
		feedback.				
Reinforcing	My friend agreed	The feedback is in	Good feedback			
Feedback	with my choice of	the form of a	from friends			
	topics suitable for	compliment from a	regarding my			
	the audience's	friend on the	performance,			
	age, and I felt	strengths of my	which they			

 Table 3.4 Data Display

	every effort I	makes me happy	good, made me
	made in choosing	because it means	optimistic that I
	an interesting	there is something I	could do it, and I
	topic. Apart from	have done well, so	became motivated
	some	my friend gives me	again to learn to
	shortcomings in	praise. So, I was	improve my
	my appearance, I	even more	shortcomings.
	also have	motivated to	
	advantages, and	continue trying to	
	that motivates me	deliver speeches in	
	to study again	other ways that were	
	because I believe	not boring either	
	I can.	because my friend	
		praised my speech	
		delivery skills.	
Didactic	Being explained	When my friend	Yes, because they
Feedback	one by one by	mentioned my	usually also
	friends, such as	pronunciation	explain why I am
	why my	mistakes and told	wrong in
	interaction with	me what was	grammar. My
	the audience is	correct, I learned	friends explain the
	still lacking, how	my mistakes, where	reasons as clearly
	my gestures look	my public speaking	as possible so that
	nervous and lack	performance was	I can understand
	confidence, can	lacking, and what	them, which
	help me	was proper. Then,	impacts my
	understand my	for grammar, the	understanding and
	shortcomings and	correct use of	encourages me to
	why they are still	grammar for	want to perform
	called lacking.	different situations	even better in the
	So, I was	was explained,	future.
		-	

	motivated to	which increased my	
	improve and learn	motivation to learn	
	more from my	to correct my	
	friends'	shortcomings. My	
	explanations.	friend also	
		suggested that I	
		learn more	
		pronunciation and	
		grammar. I became	
		motivated to learn	
		more from my	
		friend's feedback.	
Suggestive	My friend gave	My friend gave me	Oh, yes. My friend
Feedback	me exciting	suggestions for	made suggestions
	suggestions. As I	improving my	to improve my
	said before, they	English skills and	public speaking,
	were easy to try,	public speaking	such as practicing
	namely practicing	performance and	speaking in front
	alone in front of	using sophisticated,	of many people
	the mirror. I	easily accessible	more often. I
	became more	technology. Thus, I	applied these
	motivated to learn	am more motivated	suggestions to my
	more and be even	to fix it because I	practice and was
	better.	was given several	more motivated to
		alternatives.	learn to perform
			better.

#### **3.5.3 Conclusion Drawing/Verification**

The third important analysis activity is concluding and verifying them. From the beginning of data collection, a qualitative researcher looks for the meaning of the data, noting explanatory regularities, possible configurations, causeand-effect flows, and propositions. Conclusions may not emerge until the end of data collection, depending on the size of the collection of field notes, their coding, storage, and retrieval methods used, and the researcher's skill. Conclusions can be drawn based on the research results carried out and existing by taking into account the results of interviews, observations, and documentation in the form of initial data that is not yet ready to be used in the analysis; after the data is available, it is reduced and presented. Concluding is also a step to summarise data in the form of conclusions so that researchers can see what the data is obtained and can support their research and answer an initial problem that has been formulated.

## 3.6 Time and Place of the Research

The research was conducted in the English Education Department, Faculty of Educational Sciences and Teachers Training, at one of the universities in West Java, Indonesia.

No	Description	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Nov
		2023	2023	2023	2024	2024	2024	2024	2024
1	Research								
	proposal								
	writing								
	Research								
	proposal								
	examination								
3	Data								
	collection								
4	Data analysis								
5	Report								
5	Кероп								
6	Thesis								
-	Examination								
	LAummuton								

# Table 3.5 Research Schedule