REFERENCES

Ambarwati, E. K. (2021). Indonesian university students' appropriating Grammarly for formative feedback. *ELT in Focus*, *4*(1), 1-11.

Bonifacio, R. (2020). Effects of plagiarism intervention program in the research papers of central mindanao university students. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research, 9(2), 5412-5418.*

Boojar, M.M., & Dizavandi, M.M. (2020). Principles of Writing Review Articles.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, *3(2), 77-101.*

Chou, H. C., Moslehpour, M., & Yang, C. Y. (2016). My access and writing error corrections of EFL college pre-intermediate students. *International Journal of Education, 8(1), 144-161.* Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/299535444

Compeau, D. R., & Higgins, C. A. (1995). Computer self-efficacy: Development of a measure and initial test. *MIS quarterly, 189-211.*

Dewi, U. (2022). Grammarly as automated writing evaluation: Its effectiveness from EFL students' perceptions. *Lingua Cultura*, *16*(2), 155-161.

Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., & Warshaw, P. R. (1989). User acceptance of computer technology: A comparison of two theoretical models. *Management science*, *35*(8), 982-1003.

Faqih, K. M., & Jaradat, M. I. R. M. (2015). Assessing the moderating effect of gender differences and individualism-collectivism at individual-level on the adoption of mobile commerce technology: TAM3 perspective. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *22*, 37-52.

Fitria, T. N. (2021). Grammarly as AI-powered English writing assistant: Students' alternative for writing English. Metathesis: *Journal of English Language, Literature, and Teaching, 5(1), 65-78.*

Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1977). Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: An Introduction to theory and research.

Ghufron, M. (2019, July). Exploring an automated feedback program 'Grammarly'and teacher corrective feedback in EFL writing assessment: Modern vs. traditional assessment. In *Proceedings of the 3rd English Language and Literature International Conference, ELLiC, 27th April 2019, Semarang, Indonesia*.

Ghufron, M. A., & Rosyida, F. (2018). The role of Grammarly in assessing English as a Foreign Language (EFL) writing. *Lingua Cultura, 12(4), 395- 403*

Hadiat, A. W. F. (2022). The Use Of Grammarly To Enhance Students'accuracy In Writing Descriptive Text. *Journal of English Education Program (JEEP), 9(2), 133-138.*

Halim, A., Sharina, S., & Zur, S. (2022). Grammarly as a Tool to Enhance Students' Self-Directed Learning. *KnE Social Sciences, 5-13*.

Hartman, R. J., Townsend, M. B., & Jackson, M. (2019). Educators' perceptions of technology integration into the classroom: a descriptive case study. *Journal of Research in Innovative Teaching & Learning*, *12*(3), 236-249.

Holden, R. J., & Karsh, B. T. (2010). The technology acceptance model: Its past and its future in health care. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 43(1), 159-172.*

Japos, G. V. (2013). Effectiveness of coaching interventions using grammarly software and plagiarism detection software in reducing grammatical errors and plagiarism of undergraduate research. *JPAIR Institutional Research*, *1*(1), *110-112.*

Karyuatry, L. (2018). Grammarly as a tool to improve students' writing quality: Free online- proofreader across the boundaries. *JSSH (Jurnal Sains Sosial dan Humaniora), 2(1), 83.*

Kuan, H. H., Bock, G. W., & Vathanophas, V. (2008). Comparing the effects of website quality on customer initial purchase and continued purchase at e-commerce websites. *Behaviour & Information Technology, 27(1), 3-16.*

Lazic, D., Thompson, A., Pritchard, T., & Tsuji, S. (2020). Student preferences: Using Grammarly to help EFL writers with paraphrasing, summarizing, and

synthesizing. In F. Karen-Margrete, L. Sanne; B. Linda, T. Sylvie (Eds), CALL For Widening Participation: *Short Papers from EUROCALL 2020 (pp. 183-189).* https://doi.org/10.14705/ rpnet.2020.48.1186.

Meyers, J. (2005). George Orwell and the art of Writing. *The Kenyon Review*, *27*(4),   92-114.

Mitchell, K.M., & Clark, A.M. (2021). Enhance Your Qualitative Analysis with Writing: Four Principles of Writing as Inquiry. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 20.*

Moore, G. C., & Benbasat, I. (1991). Development of an instrument to measure the perceptions of adopting an information technology innovation. *Information systems research*, *2*(3), 192-222.

Mubarok, A., Syafi, H. (2020). Grammarly : An online EFL writing companion. ELTICS (English Language Teaching and English Linguistics) Journal,

Lai, C. Y., Li, Y. M., & Huang, W. H. (2017). Investigating the antecedents of mobile app installation. *Internet Research, 27(5), 1184-1203.*

Nova, M. (2018). Utilizing Grammarly in evaluating academic Writing: A narrative   research on   EFL students' experience. *Premise: Journal of English   Education and Applied   Linguistics, 7(1), 80-96.*

Nurfiryalianti, Jamiluddin, & Hastini. (2014). Improving Writing Skill by Using Free Writing Technique. *e-Journal of English Language Teaching Society (ELTS), 1-15.*

O'Neill, R., & Russell, A. M. (2019). Stop! Grammar Time: University Students' Perceptions of The Automated Feedback Program Grammarly. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 42-56.*

Oshima, A., & Hogue, A. (2007). Introduction to academic Writing (p. 3). Pearson/Longman.

Perdana, I., & Farida, M. (2019). Online grammar checkers and their use for EFL writing.   *Journal of English Teaching, Applied Linguistics and Literatures   (JETALL), 2(2), 67-   76.*

Perdana, I., Manullang, S. O., & Masri, F. A. (2021). Effectiveness of online Grammarly application in improving academic writing: review of experts experience. *International Journal of Social Sciences*, *4*(1), 122-130.

Pratama, Y. D. (2021). The investigation of using Grammarly as online grammar checker in the process of Writing. *English Ideas: Journal of English Language Education*, *1*(2).

Rau, G. (2019). General principles of writing. Writing for Engineering and Science Students.

Rieger, K. (2020). Intercultural Communication: Providing a Working Definition of Culture and Reexamining Intercultural Components in Technical Writing Textbooks. *Journal of Technical Writing and Communication, 52*, 135 - 165.

Roughton, J., Crutchfield, N., & Waite, M. (2019). Safety Culture: An Innovative Leadership Approach. *Butterworth-Heinemann.*

Salija, K. (2011). Writing Definition And Examplification Exposition Using Outlines. *Performance (Journal Of English Education and Literature)*, *8*(01), 18-29.

Setyani, E. D., Bunau, E., & Rezeki, Y. S. (2023). The Influence of Grammarly towards Indonesian EFL Students' First-Degree Thesis Writing Confidence. *Elsya: Journal of English Language Studies, 5(1), 54-67.*

Seow, A. (2002). The writing process and process writing. Methodology in language teaching: An anthology of current practice, *315, 320.*

Teo, T. (2011). Factors influencing teachers' intention to use technology: Model development and test. *Computers & Education, 57(4), 2432-2440.*

Turner, J.A. (2018). Library Guides: Write on, Wildcats: Definition of a Writing Enrichment Class.

Venkatesh, V. (2000). Determinants of perceived ease of use : Integrating control , intrinsic motivation , acceptance model. Information Systems Research, 11(May 2014), 342–365. https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.11.4.342.11872

Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies. Management Science, 46, 186–204.

Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (2000). A theoretical extension of the Technology Acceptance Model: Four longitudinal field studies. *Management Science, 46(2), 186-204.*

Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly, 27(3), 425-478.*

Venkatesh, V., & Bala, H. (2008). Technology acceptance model 3 and a research agenda on interventions. *Decision sciences*, *39*(2), 273-315.

Webster, J., & Martocchio, J. J. (1992). Microcomputer playfulness: Development of a measure with workplace implications. *MIS quarterly*, 201-226.