

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Aberdein, A., & Dove, I. J. (2013). *The Argument of Mathematics* (S. Rahman & J. Symons, Eds.; 30th ed.). Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg New York London. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6534-4__1
- Adolphus, T. (2011). Problems of Teaching and Learning of Geometry in Secondary Schools in Rivers State , Nigeria. *Int. J. Emerg. Sci. IJES*, 1(June), 143–152.
- Andriyani, & Maulana, M. (2019). Cubaritme in the trajectory learning of multiplication concept. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1188/1/012049>
- Anwar, & Rofiki, I. (2018). Investigating students ' learning trajectory : a case on triangle. *Journal of Physics: Conference Serie*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1088/1/012021>
- Armanto, D., & Stephens, M. (2011). *Developing learning trajectory for enhancing students' relational thinking*. <http://eprints.uny.ac.id/id/eprint/1865>
- Begona, C. (2014). Proofs, mathematical practice and argumentation. *Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10503-014-9344-0>
- Bieda, K. N., Ji, X., Drwencke, J., & Picard, A. (2013). Reasoning and proving opportunities in elementary mathematics textbooks. *International Journal of Educational Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2013.06.005>
- Blair, J. A. (2005). *A Time for Argument Theory Integration. Critical Problems in Argumentation*. <http://scholar.uwindsor.ca/crrarpub><http://scholar.uwindsor.ca/crrarpub/12http://scholar.uwindsor.ca/communicationspub>
- Cardetti, F., & Lemay, S. (2018). Argumentation: Building students ' capacity for reasoning essential to learning mathematics and sciences. *PRIMUS*, 1970. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2018.1482581>
- Cardetti, F., & LeMay, S. (2019). Argumentation: Building Students' Capacity for Reasoning Essential to Learning Mathematics and Sciences. *PRIMUS*, 29(8), 775–798. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2018.1482581>

- Clements, D. H., & Sarama, J. (2004a). Learning trajectories in mathematics education. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, 6(2), 81–89. <https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327833mtl0602>
- Clements, D. H., & Sarama, J. (2004b). Learning Trajectories in Mathematics Education Learning Trajectories in Mathematics Education. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, 6(2), 81–89. <https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327833mtl0602>
- Daro, P., Mosher, F. A., & Corcoran, T. B. (2011). Learning trajectories in mathematics: a foundation for standards, curriculum, assessment , and instruction. *Consortium for Policy Research in Education (CPRE)*, 1–86. <https://doi.org/10.12698/cpre.2011.rr68>
- Daro, P., Mosher, F. A., & Corcoran, T. B. (2011). Learning trajectories in mathematics: a foundation for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. In *CPRE Research Reports*. Retrieved from http://repository.upenn.edu/cpre_researchreportshttp://repository.upenn.edu/cpre_researchreports/60
- Driver, R., Newton, P., & Osborne, J. (1998). Establishing the norms of scientific argumentation in classrooms. *John Wiley & Sons, Inc*, 287–312. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-237X\(200005\)84:3<287::AID-SCE1>3.0.CO;2-A](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-237X(200005)84:3<287::AID-SCE1>3.0.CO;2-A)
- Driver, R., & Osborne, J. (2000). Establishing the Norms of Scientific Argumentation in Classrooms. In *Sci Ed* (Vol. 84).
- Farida, I., & Gusniarti, W. F. (2014). Profil keterampilan argumentasi siswa pada konsep koloid yang dikembangkan melalui pembelajaran inkuiri argumentatif. *EDUSAINS*, 6(1), 32–40.
- Fatmawati, D. R., Harlita, & Ramli, M. (2018). Meningkatkan kemampuan argumentasi siswa melalui action research dengan fokus tindakan think pair share. *Proceeding Biology Education Conference*, 15(1), 253–259.
- Fauzi, I., & Arisetyawan, A. (2020). Analisis Kesulitan Belajar Siswa pada Materi Geometri di Sekolah Dasar. *Jurnal Matematika Kreatif-Inovatif*, 11(1), 27–35. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.15294/kreano.v11i1.20726>

- Felton, M., & Gilabert, S. (2009). Deliberation versus Dispute: The Impact of Argumentative Discourse Goals on Learning and Reasoning in the Science Classroom MERCE GARCIA-MILA. In *Informal Logic* (Vol. 29, Issue 4).
- Hakyolu, H., & Ogan-bekiroglu, F. (2011). Assessment of Students ' Science Knowledge Levels and Their Involvement with Argumentation Assessment of Students ' Science Knowledge Levels and Their Involvement with Argumentation. *International Journal for Cross-Disciplinary Subjects in Education (IJCDSE)*, 2(1), 264–270. <https://doi.org/10.20533/ijcdse.2042.6364.2011.0038>
- Hatzikiriakou, K., & Metallidou, P. (2009). Teaching deductive reasoning to pre-service teachers: promises and constraints. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 7, 81–101. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-007-9113-8>
- Hornikx, J., & Hahn, U. (2012). Reasoning and argumentation: towards an integrated psychology of argumentation. *Thinking & Reasoning*, 18(3), 225–143. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13546783.2012.674715>
- Hoyles, C., & Kuchemann, D. (2002). Students' understandings of logical implication. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 55, 193–223. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023629608614>
- Indrawatiningsih, N., Purwanto, As'ari, A. R., Sa'dijah, C., & Dwiyana. (2019). Students ' mathematical argumentation ability in determining arguments or not arguments. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1315/1/012053>
- Kirkpatrick, J. (2016a). *The effects of argumentation on student motivation in mathematics*. <https://scholarworks.uni.edu/hpt>
- Kirkpatrick, J. (2016b). The effects of argumentation on student motivation in mathematics. *Honors Program Theses*, 1–26.
- Knudsen, J., Lara-Meloy, T., Stevens, H. S., & Rutstein, D. W. (2014). Advice for Mathematical Argumentation. *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School*, 19(8), 494–500. <https://doi.org/10.5951/mathteacmidscho.19.8.0494>

- Knudsen, J., Meloy, T. L., Steven, H. S., & Rutstein, D. W. (2014). Advice for mathematical argumentation. *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School*, 19(8), 494–500. <https://doi.org/10.5951/mathteachmidscho.19.8.0494>
- Kuhn, D., & Moore, W. (2015). Argumentation as core curriculum. *Learning: Research and Practice*, 1(1), 66–78. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23735082.2015.994254>
- Laamena, C. (2017). Karakteristik warrant dalam argumentasi dan pembuktian matematis. *Seminar Nasional Hasil Penelitian Universitas Kanjuruhan Malang*, 3, 296–302.
- Lameena, C. M., Nusantara, T., Irawan, E. B., & Muksar, M. (2018). Analysis of the students' argumentation based on the level of ability : study on the process of mathematical proof. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1028/1/012156>
- Lee, T. (2015). *Developing a theoretical framework to assess Taiwanese primary students' geometric argumentation*. 365–372.
- Liu, Y. (2013). *Aspects of Mathematical Arguments that Influence Eighth Grade Students' Judgment of Their Validity Dissertation*. The Ohio State University.
- Liu, Y., Manouchehri, A., Brosnan, P., & Clemens, H. (2013). *Aspects of Mathematical Arguments that Influence Eighth Grade Students' Judgment of*.
- Liu, Y., Tague, J., & Somayajulu, R. (2016a). What do eight grade students look for when determining if a mathematical argument is convincing. *IEJME-Mathematics Education*, 11.
- Liu, Y., Tague, J., & Somayajulu, R. (2016b). What do eight grade students look for when determining if a mathematical argument is convincing. *IEJME — MATHEMATICS EDUCATION*, 11(7), 2373–2401.
- NCTM. (2000). *Principles and standards for School Mathematics*. The National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, Inc.
- Nguyen, D. N. (2012). The development of the proving process within a dynamic geometry environment. *European Researcher*, 32(10–2), 1731–1744.

- Nordby, K. (2013). *Investigating viable arguments pre-service mathematics teachers' construction and evaluation of argument*. Montana State University.
- Nordin, A., & Boistrup, L. B. (2018). A framework for identifying mathematical arguments as supported claims created in day-to-day classroom interactions. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, *April*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2018.06.005>
- Nordin, A. K., & Björklund Boistrup, L. (2018). A framework for identifying mathematical arguments as supported claims created in day-to-day classroom interactions. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, *51*, 15–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2018.06.005>
- Noto, M. S., Priatna, N., & Dahlan, J. A. (2019). Mathematical proof: the learning obstacle of pre-service mathematics teachers on transformation geometry. *Journal on Mathematics Education*, *10*(1), 117–126. <https://doi.org/10.22342/jme.10.1.5379.117-126>
- Nurdin. (2011). Trajektori dalam pembelajaran matematika. *Edumatica*, *01*(April), 1–7.
- Nussbaum, E. M. (2011a). Argumentation, dialogue theory, and probability modeling: Alternative frameworks for argumentation research in education. In *Educational Psychologist* (Vol. 46, Issue 2, pp. 84–106). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2011.558816>
- Nussbaum, E. M. (2011b). Argumentation, dialogue theory, and probability modeling: Alternative frameworks for argumentation research in education. *Educational Psychologist*, *46*(2), 84–106. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2011.558816>
- Quintana, R., & Correnti, R. (2019). The right to argue: teaching and assessing everyday argumentation skills. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, *43*(8), 1133–1151. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2018.1450967>
- Ramadhanti, P., Siahaan, S. M., & Fathurohman, A. (2015). Penggunaan hypothetical learning trajectory (HLT) pada materi elastisitas untuk mengetahui lintasan belajar siswa kelas x di sma 1 indralaya utara. *Jurnal Inovasi Dan Pembelajaran Fisika*, *2*(1), 88–99.

- Rohendi, D., Septian, S., & Sutarno, H. (2018). The Use of Geometry Learning Media Based on Augmented Reality for Junior High School Students The Use of Geometry Learning Media Based on Augmented Reality for Junior High School Students. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/306/1/012029>
- Rosita, C. D., Kusumah, Y. S., Suryadi, D., & Kartasasmita, B. G. (2019). Implementation of cooperative integrated reading and composition (CIRC) to enhance mathematical argumentation ability of mathematics teacher students. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 0–8. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1280/4/042002>
- Rumsey, C., & Langrall, C. W. (2016). Promoting mathematical argumentation. *Teaching Children Mathematics*, 22(7), 413–419.
- Setiadi, D. R., Mulyana, E., & Asih, E. C. M. (2019). Learning trajectory of three dimensions ' topic through analytical geometry approach. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1157/3/032109>
- Simon, M. A. (1995). Reconstructing mathematics pedagogy from a constructivist perspective. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 26(2), 114–145.
- Simon, M. A., & Tzur, R. (2009). *Mathematical Thinking and Learning Explicating the Role of Mathematical Tasks in Conceptual Learning : An Elaboration of the Hypothetical Learning Trajectory*. December 2013, 37–41. <https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327833mtl0602>
- Simon, S., Erduran, S., & Osborne, J. (2006). Learning to teach argumentation: Research and development in the science classroom. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28(2–3), 235–260. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690500336957>
- Soekisno, R. B. A. (2015). Pembelajaran berbasis masalah untuk meningkatkan kemampuan argumentasi matematis mahasiswa. *Jurnal Ilmiah Program Studi Matematika STKIP Siliwangi Bandung*, 4(2), 120–139. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.22460/infinity.v4i2.p120-139>

- Sukirwan. (2016). Argumentasi matematis mahasiswa calon guru pada suatu konjektur matematika. *JPPM*, 9(1), 93–101.
- Sukirwan, Darhim, Herman, T., & Prahmana, R. C. I. (2017). The students' mathematical argumentation in geometry. *Journal of Physics*, 0–6. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/943/1/012026>
- Supratman, Ratnaningsih, N., & Ryane, Si. (2017). Conjecturing via analogical reasoning constructs ordinary students into like gifted student. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series PAPER*, 1–9. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1088/1742-6596/943/1/012025>
- Surya, A. (2011). Learning trajectory pada pembelajaran sekolah dasar (SD). *Jurnal Pendidikan Ilmiah*, 4(2), 22–26.
- Toulmin, S. E. (2003). *The Uses of Argument* (Update Edi). Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Umah, U., Asari, A. R., & Sulandra, I. M. (2016). Struktur argumentasi penalaran kovariasional siswa kelas VIII B Mtsn 1 Kediri. *Jurnal Matematika Dan Pendidikan Matematika*, 1, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.26594/jmpm.v1i1.498>
- Vincent, J., Chick, H., & McCrae, B. (2005). Argumentation profile charts as tools for analysing students' argumentations. *Proceedings of the 29th Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education*, 4, 281–288. https://www.emis.de/proceedings/PME29/PME29CompleteProc/PME29Vol4Mul_Wu.pdf
- Wojdak, J. M. (2010). An Attention-Grabbing Approach to Introducing Students to Argumentation in Science An Attention-Grabbing Approach to Introducing Students to Argumentation in Science. *Bioscience Education*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.3108/beej.15.c5>
- Wood, T. (1999). Creating a context for argument in mathematics class. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 30(2), 171–191. <https://doi.org/10.2307/749609>
- Wulandari, W., Darmawijoyo, & Hartanto, Y. (2016). Pengaruh pendekatan pemodelan matematika terhadap kemampuan argumentasi siswa kelas VIII

smp negeri 15 Palembang. *JURNAL PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA*, 10(1), 111–123. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.22342/jpm.10.1.3292.111-123>